FROM: PUS

30 NOVEMBER 1993 today a Irish Cabinet meeting and that as far as I could see there

think he had feared that the combination of British policy and cc. PS/Secretary of State (L&B) - B PS/Michael Ancram (L, B&DENI) - B PS/Mr Fell He added that he had seen the Mr Thomas Joint Communique - B which we had given to the Taoiseaci Mr Bellic not think such of - B Mr Williams - B
Mr Deverell - B with an eye to maleculatey to all Mr Wood (L&B) no good ensur - B calcability to one side with the o'Mr Brooker losing it on another. I emphasized that it was Mr Cooke a tore and the - B Amportant thing was for tork to zo Mr Maccabe Mr Beeton Mr May

NOTE FOR THE RECORD

MR HUME

I spoke to John Hume (he was in Derry) late last night. I asked him how he was: he sounded a bit mournful but said that he was coming along 'so so'.

- 2. He told me he had deliberately stayed away from the House of Comons for yesterday's Statement on the grounds that his presence might have provoked not only the DUP but some members of the UUP into what might have been unhelpful statements which could have damaged the Government's position on the search for peace. He had gone out of his way to ensure that Mr Kevin McNamara did not attack the Government. He had been sorry therefore that the Secretary of State had made a party point in answering Mr McNamara but I said that I knew the two had had a word outside the Chamber to mend any misunderstanding. I said that the way Mr McNamara had put his own question was not exactly free of sting and bite.
- 3. He then said he was very concerned indeed that the prospects for peace despite the Government's very strong stand and support around most of the House of Commons for it, was in danger of being lost. I said that the next step with the flurry about disclosure out the way was for the two Governments to return to their

CONFIDENTIAL

dialogue and my expectation was that the Prime Minister and the Taoiseach would be having a conversation in anticipation of today's Irish Cabinet meeting and that as far as I could see there was no reason on the British side why the Summit should not go ahead early next month. Mr Hume sounded somewhat relieved - I think he had feared that the combination of British policy and the disclosure crisis would lead to our locking the door on any

4. He added that he had seen the text of the Joint Communiqué which we had given to the Taoiseach, and did not think much of it. I said it had to be read first with the realisation of how much of the content was drawn from the JD initiative, and second with an eye to saleability to all sides. It was no good ensuring saleability to one side with the certainty of losing it on another. I emphasised that it was negotiable text and the important thing was for work to resume.

J A CHILCOT

30 NOVEMBER 1993 been side lined by the fewelations, possibly deliberately. He and Spring had taken wavene risks in distancing themselves from John Sume (Spring had take been told be would be booked out the stage if he turned up at last weekend's SDLP conference despite the long standing books Lancar Party Links). They had been made to