Dermot Nally Papers

UCDA P254/36

ROINN AN TAOISIGH



To: Attorney General From: Martin Manseroh 1719193

Questions on Articles 2 and 3

I enclose two papers on Articles 2 and 3, one (public) by the Knights of Columbanus, the other (confidential) by myself. There are a number of legal issues that need to be examined outlined in both papers, in particular:

- Is there any truth in the statement that the Anglo-Irish Agreement is in some way dependent on Articles 2 and 3, and that it could be rendered void by their amendment?
- 2. To what extent are the provisions in the Irish Nationality and Citizenship Act covering persons born in the six counties of Northern Ireland dependent on the retention in their present form of Articles 2 and 37. Are there other (relevant) acceptable bases in international law for extending citizenship outside the border of a State? Can it be done
- 3. Article 2 is a statement about the exent of the national territory, not about the settent of the justication of the State? is lither just like a very good case for leaving it acres in any situation, on the grounds that studies not any sense perspected action of jurisdiction (basen on as a studies) or a large sense perspected actions of jurisdiction (basen on a state of the perspected of the perspect
- Is there any intrinsic objection to inserting in Article 3 a clause that (set) imposes explicitly a condition of peaceful means/consent/agreement (while accepting of course, pace the Supreme Court judgement, such an addition is not strictly necessary?)
- What is the legal effect (on sovereignty claims) of deleting the phrase "without prejudice to the right etc." in Article 3? Does our claim sovereignty reside exclusively in that phrase, or is it also implicit in the phrase "pending reintegration of the national territory" taken together with Article 2?

<u>Supplementary</u>: If our claim of sovereignty does reside exclusively in the phrase "without prejudice to the right etc.", can it be so as to speak suspended as opposed to renounced, so that it comes into effect when and only when the conditions of agreement and consent are satisfied? (See suggestion in my accompanying paper).

ROINN AN TAOISIGH

-2-

- What would be the logal force of the 1967 Committee's reformulation
 of the first part of Article 3 (see attached paper)? To what extent does
 'firm intent' (very similar to German Constitution preamble) represent a
 constitutional claim?
 - 7. In the 1921 Treaty, British sovereignty over the North was theoretically largialy colled (elseving said celevaties) over corny/ (chronic status etc.), largialy colled (elseving said celevaties) are consistent etc.) are recognitived? Articles 2 and 3 were to be modified in some of the ways suppeated, is there any way of medicing any consequent celevation of british sovereignty purely temporary from our point of recognition of british sovereignty purely temporary from our point of recognition of british sovereignty purely temporary from our point of recognition of british sovereignty purely temporary from our point of source that from ever being able to justifiably claim that Northern fedinal studies of the property of the first of the present purely indicated in the water of the first of the present purely indicated to the water of the first of the present purely indicated to the water of the first of the present purely indicated to the water of the first of the present purely indicated to the water of the first of the present purely indicated to the water of the present purely indicated to the present purely indicated to the water of the first of the present purely indicated to the present purely indicated to the water of the first of the present purely indicated to the present purely indicated to the present purely indicated to the purely indicated to the present purely indicated to the purel

^{| →} September, 1993.



M/M 15/9

Reflections on possible Constitutional Change

Background

- Sincity geaking, no constitutional charge is necessary on our side. The Supreme Court found that Ancies 2 and were billy consistent with the principle of consert contained in Article 1 of the Angio-Ireish Agreement (six Ancies 26 of the Constitution on Interior Constitution of Interior Constitution of International disputes). The use of the phrase constitutional repositive, which is that softwarp laws proviocative, does not negleat this. Article 3 emphasically limits the actual as opposed to the theoretical jurisdiction of the State to the 26 courts.
- The Opsahl Commission and indeed many other volces have quaried the wisdom of attempting to change Articles 2 and 5 on the grounds that it will sift up passions and increase political support for extremists. The matter was not pursued after in the New Ireland Forum or the Anglo-Irish Agreement for these reasons. Admittedly, the Supreme Court gave new life to the controversy.
- 3. The University, with some degree of support from the British, have however made constitutional change a size, autor of early new agreement. Apart from that, it could be argued that the claim in Article 3 by the Government and Parliament of the Sciences to a right of usersize Jurisdiction over the six counties, with or without ther democratic representation, is afficult to reschoole with controlled principles. The splittle could be democratic principles in the position can of a democrating to resist at all changes inclaimly, with a compring that the constitutional position is on the strategies of the controlled principles. The controlled principles is the controlled principles in the constitutional position is not the extraction on an extraction on an extraction on an extraction.
- 4. Anticles 2 and 3 represent a strong sense of historical legitimacy, a rejection, of British sovereignly as of right, a ressourance to Northern Nationalists of a connection with them and of their firsh identity, and griving the firsh. Government a status in regard to their position. Arguments against any change from many Northern Nationalists would claim that their linth citizenship would be derived them, and that they were being abandoned.

Nowever, some of the people guiling floward this view would until recently have held wy little time to the hird Constitution. It would be efficient to see more than the people of the p

The Nature and Reciprocity of change

- 5. There is no question of pulming forward unitatived constitutional change (popelly demanded only by the DUI be is stage). The Programme for Government speaks of a basined constitutional accommodation, which implies involvement to plue 8 that is also to the private state on universe of the stage of
- 6. The simplest solution would be to have a referendum North and South on any new agreement, with volued, like the longite European Act all the Massarcian Treaty, be incorporated in an override clause in the Constitution (e.g. whether the conditions were contrained in the New Agreement about constitutional change would override any contrary interpretation that might enhanced the constitutional change would override any contrary interpretation that might enhanced to the constitution of the constitut
- There is a case to be made for keeping as far as possible to what is familiar and not completely swapping or recasting Articles 2 and 3. Few expect them to be changed entirely.
- Article 2 which defines the territory that belongs to the Irish nation ought, if
 possible, to be left alone. It would be extremely divisive to define the Irish
 nation or the national territory in 26 county or in two nation terms. Michael

McGlimpsey, white admitting he may not represent the Unionists' view on this, has told me personally he has no objection to Article 2. (The diplomatic habit of maintaining a stronger theoretical claim to the coastal waters of Antirim than to the land territory of the six counties themselves might, however, be gently dropped, as zerving no earthy useful ourpose).

- In the event of a radical political solution, Article 3 might no longer be
 necessary at all, but we may be some way from that yet. Pull-blooded joint
 authority, with its implications of (proportionate) joint francing and politing,
 would be fercely resisted by Unionists, athough there is everything to be said
 to spread only North-South institutions.
- 10. With regard to Article 3, it seems to me that there could be few objections to building in a consent clause, after pending rentergation of the national territory: "witch will only come about by peaceful means and shrough agreement and consent. This would not diminish the claim, but simply attach essential conditions for its exercise.
- 11. The most difficult phrase to grapple with is without prejudice to the right of the Parliament and Government established by this Constitution to exercise jurisduction over the whole of that territory. One possibility would be to delete it altogether, though the legal consequence of this would have to be closely studied.
- 12. An alternative would be to add some qualifying datase. If the words on agreement and consent were added as suggested in plrangraph 9, one could rephrase it as without prejudice to the right in those conditions of the Parliament and Government established by this Constitution of the American Constitution Energy addressed by the little people as a whole to exercise strategies.
- 13. A further alternative is to go for the type of firm statement of intent contained in the report of the 1867 Committee, which begins The Irah Nation hereby proclaims its firm will trait its restroy be unleed in harmony and brotherly affection between all intrinser (perhaps add and-women or alternatively all the people of Heseland) and then poeen on an in the existing article to limit the effective jurisdiction to the 28 counties. This is similar to the exhonation that was in the premained of the German Constitution: the errice German people.

 4 are called upon to achieve in free self-determination the unity and freedom of Germany.

Issues to be examined

- 14. After brandest political levis, it would so deeply obtains to recognize unconditionally wrige <u>Listory</u> or permissent and unconditionally rigit to sovereignty of the British Observment to any part of related, because that least to orderpass of elaborament. The 1950-1 position was sessirally a temporary continuation of british sovereignty, which had stready been ceeded for the Parliament or opposed in Notherth levished and on no other brasis. A complete removal of the faint dams in Sherifore undestrailed and on no other brasis. A complete removal of the faint dams in Sherifore undestrailed, and of only the Nationales possition is surely that the whole leader destroys and originate of the faint people as a whole, but that there is now a softem collegation that that fight will only be wereleded, demanded or efforced with the consect of a regionly of the people in Notherth and the second of the Notherth and the Notherth and the Notherth and the Notherth and Nothe
- 15. To what extent are or were the rights of the Irish Government as expressed in the Anglo-Irish Agreement dependent on the current wording of Ancides 2 and 3, or is this just an academic question, given the Agreement is now a fact, and that we do not have to give it up, unless something at least equally satisfactory takes its relieve.
- 18. To what extent are the inth Citarentry nights, as applied to the people in the North, dependent on Articles 2 and 3 as oursernly worder? Out the Northern appects of the Irish Nationality and Citarentry hat be endered unconstitutional, by a modification of the Articles? Or is there in fact the slightest diffically in extending citarentry (as the Germans and Irarelia have done) to nationals (who have always up till now) lived outside the borders of the State?
- Any thoughts presented to the British Government should perhaps contain options, ranging from no change on the grounds it is not strictly necessary, to endorsement of a new Agreement North and South, and finally the possible

scope of change (concentrated on Article 3). We have already signalled in broad terms what we need from them.

Wh

/ 5 September, 1993