Dermot Nally Papers

UCDA P254/56

Rigurnion with Tainenty J. M. pannelgereget * - Mayhow: Rounies

Seneral of pales had been a grant of borner of seneral of pales and present of borner of borner

There is an enormous desire for peace North and South. We must identify whether opportunity actually exists or not. The will for neace must be tested

This can only be done by adopting a position of the utmost reasonableness. which could be seen as such.

- Inil lung 3 Historby a fig: mapport

9/1019

PIRA will not unconditionally surrender or unilaterally lay down their arms for a long time, however much one might wish them to do so. There has to be a formula. We have to explore, through opening provided by John Hume, whether real will for peace now exists. We accept that the evidence is conflicting

- Nothing would be more disastrous than a myth to grow up of a lost opportunity for peace, because of an alleged lack of will by the two Governments, or because the British Government actively set out to kill it.
 - This opening can only be held for another month. Taciseach was deadly serious about this. It was not just party conference rhetoric. Hume and Adams will almost certainly go public after 3 December deadline.
 - Taoiseach has taken serious political risks, and was under significant pressure at the Ard-Fheis. He has gone out on a limb, and will have to retreat to safer ground, if initiative fails.
- We are prepared to co-operate with the talks process but talks process on its own has ceased to provide a credible policy, in the light of Unionists1 unwillingness to return to the table. But we must have co-operation on this, as promised by John Major in Brussels, who described it as a very good

- document and who expressed willingness to work towards a possible move at the Summit in Dublin on 2 December. It is in any case to the advantage of the talks process, if the possibilities for a peace process are clearly tested, and a conclusion reached one way or the other, rather than to have lingering doubts distracting process minds.
- As Trainbleach made clear in Ar-Shea Speech, we are prepared to make public stand against SF, if they retuse this framework and insist not time-frame for withdrawal, or if they equivocate about consent as defined in the Anglo-linin Agreement. Our view will be that nothing in this document as compared with the original document could possibly justify continuation of campaign of violence.
- As regards passage in Brussels communiqué about no secret deals', that
 means simply that any framework for peace will, when decided upon, be set out
 in public in full.
- With regard to Unionists, we have already made very clear our willingness to after the Constitution in the balanced context of an overall settlement. We obviously cannot deal with the type of objection by Willie Ross MP that even the desire for a united Ireland represents operation.

Concentrate on proposed additions.

- The only significant changes have been incorporated at the behest of a leading figure from the Unionist community, who has been taken into our confidence.
 Last sentence in para. I incorporates clear assertion of ownership of this intlative by the Price Manifester incorporate for the resection.
 - New pares 6, 7, 8 relisting to the Taoiseach all incorporate substantial new material directed towards Unionists, which have been suggested by leading member of Unionist community, and which he believes would render the document tolerable from the point of view of moderate Unionists. Allance Party teader has already obclared support for Taoiseach's according.
 - There are minor changes in the final paras, with only one reference to the Forum instead of two, and a final addition at the end.