## Dermot Nally Papers

UCDA P254/70

- I had a meeting with Mr. John Hume in the margin of the SDL Conference on Saturday, 27th November. He asked me to convey to the Taoiseach and the Tanaiste his strong approval of the way in which they were handling the peace process-The reaction of party members at the Conference showed that this was not just his view, but was widely shared among the rank and file of the SDLP.
- He had had a message from Chilcot that a "positive" response had been given to the Irish Government. In the circumstances I thought it better to let him see the British draft
- His reaction to it was mixed: On the one hand he singled out a number of elements which he thought were positive. His first instinct was that we should work further on it with the British and see whether it could be made acceptable. His view was also that it would be better for the Taoiseach to inform the intermediaries about the development, rather than not. As he absorbed the document, however, his feeling shifted more and more to the view that it would not work. On balance he thought it likely that it was designed, not so such to "take the trick" of an IRA cessation of violence, but for presentational and public relations purposes, if the situation unravelled and positions began to be defined in public.
- I slerted him again to the danger, if that happened of Sinn Fein invoking a draft which might suggest meonle were working on their traditional agenda of British withdrawal to a fixed timetable etc.
- 5. Hume acknowledged this point. He said he had had an invitation to communicate with the Prime Minister whenever

that was useful. He thought he might avail of this invidation now. He would, after consultation with Adams, write on his own behalf to the Fine Minister setting out, as simply as he could, the basis which he felt existed for

- 5. I said that, provided the term of the letter were carefully chosen, this should not present any proble for the Irah Govarnment. I received however that the most recent Numer Adars weeking but been one of the factors invoked by the British side to justify their refusal to espage properly on the present text. Nows said have aware that this was the view of the fundament. Date I maximum from something John Carlot and the present contribution. The present contribution of the Fitzenstein. That this was relayed this descript out of the fundament.
- I asked Hume to stay in touch with us about the terms of any letter he would propose to write.
- 8. (The Cheerer story was obviously the main point of conversation among the journalists attending the Conference. Mailie confirmed this was the story be had got wind of a couple of weeks ago, and have accessful about the way that the MIO had scouplt to discredit it and him at the time. He sentioned that up to six further officials and been involved in some of the meetings with the intermediaty. Workship has been access. One portmaint appearance that it with be

because the source was the RUC Special Branch).



Con.

Sean O hUiginn 29 November, 1993 cc: Tanaiste Secretary Mr. F. Murray Dr. M. Mansergh