Dermot Nally Papers

UCDA P254/74

ec My F Kurny

WORKING MEETING BETWEEN TAGISEACH AND PM MAJOR: DUBLIN

CASTLE. 3 DECEMBER 1993

PLENARY SESSION

Townsel on you so the later of the sally test from solly the hand of low solly mended in the solly market the solly mended the solly solly solly the solly solly the solly solly the solly solly solly the solly solly solly the solly solly solly solly the solly s is it or which where fellows can have their Rath. To

A plenary session took place from 3 pm until 4.30 pm and, Andrew after a break to permit internal consultations, resumed from approx. 5 pm until approx. 6 pm.

The Taoiseach was accompanied by the Tanaiste and Minister for Poreign Affairs and by the Minister for Justice. present were: . Mr F. Murray, Mr P. Teahon, Mr D. Nally, Dr M. Mangergh: Mr N. Dorr, Ambassador J. Small, Mr S. O hUiginn, Mr F. Finlay, Mr D. Donoghue and Mr P. Hennessy; Mr T. Dalton and Mr C. O hUiginn.

The Prime Minister was accompanied by the Foreign Secretary and by the Secretary of State for Northern Ireland. Also present were: Sir R. Butler, Mr R. Lyne; Mr J. Chilcot, Mr Q. Thomas, Mr J. Stephens; Ambassador D. Blatherwick, Mr G. Archer and Mr R. Savers.

The following is an account of the exchanges during the first part of the plenary meeting. It is in the form of direct speech and is based on detailed notes taken during the meeting. It does not, however, purport to be a verbatin record nor is it necessarily exhaustive of all the exchanges.

We have had the benefit of some good meetings before lunch. The Fine Minister and I have agreed to go straight into the document. We have outlined between us the obstacles to be overcome and have agreed to go through the text on a maragraph-by-maragraph basis.

We started off with a June document which we rightly felt would deliver peace. If had been asked ten days ago if I thought that it would deliver peace. I would have said Yes, we carried on for quits some time with the June document, taking on board reservations expressed by Sir Robin Butler and others.

This brought us up to about a month ago, when things started to go wrong. Some people took the view that I was trying to blackmail the British Covernment into doing something it didn't want to do. That was not the case. We were always conscisue of the equalise fears of the Unionist popular was the way the way the work of the County of t

Me must also take into account nationalist concerns and the stated objectives of the paramilitaties; if we are to succeed in achieving the prime of peace. Despite what has happened in the last week or two, there is still a mood for peace (though we must get a sense of Gerry Mass* position at the

We produced a document which, in our view, is very balanced and accommodating. It discards the known demands of the IRA. It ends the IRA demand for a declaration by the British of their intent to withdraw. It ends the insistence on a majority in the island as a whole being fees to overrise the wrot of a majority in borbers from the presence of the explicit demand that under the presence of the explicit demand of the presence of the presence of the presence of the explicit of presence objectives by violence. These are four issues for the Provisionals which are got being accommodated, you have to look at the balance of the document as a whole.

The Frime Minister has read our behout eight concerns, all of which are familiar to us. We have done weavything possible to communicate to the Unionists what is in this document. The British Government have access to all the Unionists; we would hope that they would fill in the Unionists, or at least McUyreaux, on what's in it.

I put a balance into this document. Everyone bolieves that it is fair and vell-balanced. It contains language which mether of us would like if we had freedon to change it. But remember - while such language may be distasteful to me or to the Prize Minister, there must be something which will accommodate the others out there. The objective of a cessation of volumes is what this is all about

The real fears of the Unionity, as I know them (and I have hown them for a long time), relate to uncertainty about the future. This document fearees the present position in time and changes nothing. It provides for a reseation of violence in order to allow the talks process to get underway. It is no good if the two Ownerments get on well together but the two communities do not. We will have a far better future if we can pull out the violence.

There is a generational development among the paramilitaries.

The present IRA leadership is composed of the "Young Turks" who got in twenty-five years ago. We now have an



opportunity to stop the next generation of "Young Turks".

Loyalist violence is reactive. My information is that there is a lot of debate going on on the Loyalist side also. I am assured from three different directions that an opportunity now exists for that to stop also.

We cannot allow to go unchallenged the possibility, and the across parception, that there is an opportunity for peace now valid is waiting to be grasped. We cannot led the Provisional IRA get way with it. That would be untenshle in political terms for the Irish Government. I have led my Government further down the read than amyone before me. Life would not be liveable for us if we let this opportunity op my.

We believe that we know what cas bring a researtion of violence. I how that it is a question of judgmen. Perhaps when we meet in firmedia we can make a better evaluation. By evaluation is that the mood is citil there, although there may be some shock at the top of the system (does for execut eventua-) tops that we don't come our within the wester at light in a pilkt in the terrorary weekeens.

We agreed to go through the document and to pick out areas of difficulty. Maybe we can agree it all today? (!)

Prime Minister

Well, that would be optimistic.

We both walked into the room this morning <u>frustrated</u> with what had happened over the past fortnight. I took the opportunity to discuss my frustrations with you and you raised yours with me. We looked at the problems very carefully ment door.



Both sides have no doubt covered the same ground in the other meeting before lunch.

I as frastated about thouge which sessed to messate from Dahma and you see frastrated benot there things. The only beneficiates of this are the Provisional IAA and Loyalist theoretical. It was sever likely that we would spee a clear flight path without the kind of drames of the past few days. And these could will be more before we are through. A lot of people could try to blove and in our eyes. It is important that we keep in touch with seek other.

Let us think about wast we are doing. We will have a perhaps frastracting discussion codey about cents and the disagreements between us. But let us think about how unlikely this somest would have been even a few months age - you and your senior officials and I with nine trying to write a text in the hope of purispo-violent one to the sattement of the degree of consoling hoped to carry it through. Let us beer that in since

In your sext you are seeking halance. The events of the past fortunish have, in our view, situeed the position. You netered to your afforts to seat the genuies fears of the intensits. In some ways, your document makes quite a justic attempt to meet them. There are, however, some areas where comething is capited within only completizations with commenting its implied within only completizations with ones areas) in order to avoid insummeteratedings on the part of unsophisticates would not possible the proposed of the part of unsophisticated people whose mouths are very close to microphones.

I have some proposals to make in this regard. Some you will like and others you will not like.



Let us proceed on a sentence-by-sentence basis.

Taoiseach

A lot of effort has gone into this document from both sides and, indeed, from people outside. We have had Unionist help through Archbishop Eames.

A paragraph-by-paragraph reading of the draft followed.

Para 1 was agreed.

- I have two concerns in <u>para 2</u>. Here is the more important of them. I propose that the last part of the final sentence (after "encompassing") might read as follows:
- "... arrangements within Northern Ireland, for the whole island and between these islands"
- (as there is some doubt about the three elements involved).

Taoiseach

The important thing for us to consider is how all the changes proposed upset the overall balance of the text.

Mansergh

- We have also proposed an amendment in this paragraph:
- "Beginning now and over the coming generation, it is their ain to foster agreement etc..."

Tani seach



Since we left out of the document all of the IRA's fundamental aims, there could be certain readjustments which are required.

Drine Minister

You asked us to wave this document at one or two prominent figures in Northern Ireland and to get some perception from certain people, both clerical and non-clerical. From this one point emerged: nowhere in these documents (sic) have we stated what the present position is in relation to consent.

Mansergh referred to para 7

Prime Minister

It is not in the form I want.

In para 5, I propose that we change the third line to read

"...under any new political system"

(as I do not want to imply that the present system is hopeless).

At the end of the paragraph, it would help if you would say that it would be wrong to seek a united Ireland unless a majority of the people of Northern Ireland freely consented to it. In that way, we would undermine our opponents.

Mansergh demurred at the formulation envisaged.

Prime Minister

What I want is the principle that I have mentioned. I accept that "seek" might be the wrong word.



In the middle of the paragraph, I would like to substitute the words "gubiect to" for "achieved and exercised with".

I am content with <u>mars 5</u>. Two sentences in the middle <u>could</u> come out but, if you are content with them, I need not raise than

Mangarah

Our proposal is that para 7 might begin as follows:

Both Governments have restfired in the hosiocitish Agreement that Itals notice who be pairwised only by those who favour this outcome personalize hose who do not, peacefully and without control and violance, and that if in the future a majority of the scools of fourthern Iraland are to personaled, both Governments will support and give legislative effect to their vishes?

Thomas

The Anglo-Irish Agreement does not quite say that. That is an important objection.

O hUiginn

It is intended to be a fair paraphrase of what is said in the Agreement.

Thomas

It is an interpretation rather than a paraphrase.

Nally



The Agreement reads: "If in the future a majority of the papple of Northern Ireland clearly wish for and formally consent to the establishment of a united Ireland, they will introduce and support in the respective Parliaments legislation to give effect to that wish:

....

We might say "...affirm through the Anglo-Irish Agreement" or "...affirm in virtue of the Anglo-Irish Agreement".

Prime Minister

I see what you are getting at. We will have to come back to this later - as, indeed, we will have to come back to all of these points.

The beginning of pars 7 is Eames' language. (It is still rather begrudging). I would like to replace "despite" (MR The Prime Minister no doubt meant "notwithstandings) with "while recognizing the reaffirmation...".

In the middle of para 7, we touch rather delicately on the sensitivity of Articles Two and Three. I would like to put at the end of of para 7 something which sets out the position more clearly. We might add:

"Me confirms that, in the sent of an overall accommodation being agreed, the frish Coverage to the forward processing for a change in the frish Constitution whereby the claim of right to Nothern Frield of in no longer sweeted and the principle of freely myon consent in Northern Ireland is fully defined."

That would be the natural converse of what you have just inserted.



Taoiseach

I went through this in considerable detail with Tames and other. This is an ease where you can clearly upper the behance. I will say no more. I am putting down a marker, It is the nest centrue issue in this country. I explained it clearly on the first programme. We are the quardisans of that are and we must be very careful in relation to (retaining) the advantage which we have over Sinn Fean and the Republican moments in that respect.

Foreign Secretary

We have just heard an Irish amendment which sets out the circumstances in which unity might be achieved. There is a certain parallelian.

Taniseach

We are not against giving certain signals but we will not be seen to be going down on our knees.

Poreign Secretary

This document touches on a very sensitive area.

Prine Minister

It is very sensitive in Northern Ireland also.

Taoiseach

The issue is now being seen as the "green herring" of Irish politics - and that description comes from Unionists.

(1)

Prime Minister

If we are agreeing an overall accommodation based on consent, there is no need to leave what is seen as an aggressive claim

Taoiseach

We are saying that something could be done as "part of an overall accommodation". - We don't know what that agreement might be.

Foreign Secretary

The principle of consent is a very strong one to rest on.

Taoiseach

This whole issue is a "green herring". Let us park it and leave it.

Prime Minister

As long as nobody understands that it is being put to one

Taoiseach

I have had the principle of consent checked constitutionally by two constitutional lawyers. They tell me that it is fully covered in this document.

Prine Minister

That is our advice also.



In para 8, we have no change to propose.

In para 9, we wish to insert the following:

"... will seek, along with the Northern Ireland constitutional parties through the talks process, to create institutions and structures..."

We now come to quite a big issue the Convention. As I understand it, you have advanced a Convention because you see a danger of the Provisional IDA finding themselves with monders where they can talk and therefore an iditional tense to what could be a inequip process. You have from Emergence and the second process are second process.

We propose, therefore, that mass [10:1] be delived but that immedal I would publicly indicate that, in the event of a sectionent, we would be prepared to enter exploratory disloyers with fish first intere moths after an unequivosi cessation of violence. That would recove the underlying argument. It would also give the Frovisional I sha nepoparturity to involve themselves in more constructive talks. I propose, therefore, a new para [10] (in regal out a recoverable within which was pricomized later in types form by the privile delegation and a statopide as Amen 1).

Mansergh

This goes into fairly specific mode.

Taoiseach

The British Government's White Paper of 1973 proposed a



"Conference". We could perhaps change the name to

Thomas

I think that the British Government were to be a member of the (1973) Conference. The difference here is that the British Government would not be part of the Convention.

Poreign Secretary

The aim is to give Sinn Fein a forum where they could put their points of view. These would be talks about the future government of Northern Irealend. Everyone would be involved and they could lead to agreement. It would be a better deal for Sinn Fein, as they would be where the beef is.

Prime Minister

The intention was to offer Sinn Fein something better, where they could influence things.

Taoiseach

Didn't some document mention a period of only two months?

hUiging

es. An exchange was published which seemed more generous.

Drine Minister

That has to do with when the document was issued. It was written in late October and envisaged a date in January for talks.



Foreign Secretary

How would the Convention actually work? It would be attended presumably by Sinn Fein, the SDLP...

Swime Minister

The Northern Ireland parties would not be there.

Secretary of State for Northern Ireland

Alliance might perhaps attend (?).

Taoiseach

What we're about here is asking an organization to change its entire ideology and to come into the constitutional process.

The test nust be what is likely to persuade them to do so.

Foreign Secretary

You are saying in your text that they must "abide exclusively by the democratic process".

Prime Minister

You would only have them in the Convention if they ended violence. Mowever, the Convention would not let them get to grips with the decision-making process. What I am proposing is better from their point of view.

Taoiseach

Can you explain the thinking behind your proposal?

Prime Minister

I am a political resilet. I want the volkance to end. I as assign to them. What you get out of this, if the voltance ends, is an opportunity to engage in the tails, to have a legitimate demonstratic input to the tails process. The Convention would seen that they would not up of face-tho-face with the Minimizer or with the Minimizer or that the William of the Convention would seen that they would not up face-tho-face with the Minimizer of the tails are the seen of the Minimizer of the Minimizer

Taoiseach

How big an issue is the Convention, in your judgment, in Northern Ireland?

Prime Minister

Very big.

Foreign Secretary

As a result of the reaction to the outlishall speech and the leak to the Observar, also to people in England and in Northern Ireland seen to scoopt that, after a cessation of violence, Sinn Fen inguigid take part in talks. That is a new fact. Fartly by accident and partly by design, there has been a rows forward bare.

Taoiseach

I have detected this mood for some time past. People are now prepared to accept something that they would not have dreamed of accepting six months ago.

Foreign Secretary



People are more willing now to accept Sinn Fein in talks.

Prime Minister

I am surprised that the Convention is a hig issue, to be homest. But I have heard this from the Northern Ireland politicians. There is, of course, nothing on God's earth to stop you organising a Convention - or to force them to go to it. Easse and Molyneauch wave raised the matter with me.

Secretary of State for Northern Ireland

It is not just the politicians (who have reservations about

IAt this point the British proposal was circulated in typed form. The Prino Minister reserved, as a incular spide, that the Angle-irish Agreement had become him bedding resident, supplianting Trollogs; on balance, however, he preferred Trollogs;

Nally

Para 4 of the text is the core issue to be addressed.

Prime Minister

I want to expand the first sentence of para 4 so as to give fuller emphasis to what it actually means. I propose that it would read as follows:

The Prime Minister retterates on behalf of the British Government that, while their fundamental interest in Morthern Iroland is to uphold by all legitinate means the descoration white of its people as to their constitutional status, they



have no selfish strategic or economic interest that would lead them to retain Northern Ireland within the United Kingdom against the wishes of the greater number of its people".

That should remove any misunderstanding while retaining what we have traditionally said.

We will park it. I want to see the overall effect on that

Prime Minister

I would want the paragraph to continue as follows:

"The role of the British Government will be to encourage. assist and enable the process of reaching such agreement over a period through dislogue and cooperation. They accept that such agreement may of right take the form of agreed structures for the island as a whole".

Butler

I wonder if the significance of saying "the process of reaching such agreement" is clear to the Irish side. point is that the British Government would not be joining the ranks of the persuaders.

I recognized that the amendment was not in our favour anyway!

Prime Minister

It has never been our position to be a persuader. We



Taoisea

What is the reason for deleting the word "independent" (as a description for the "structures")?

O hUigina

That change is in contradiction with Art. 1(c) of the Anglo-Irish Agreement.

Prime Minister

I was surprised to see the word in the text.

O hUiginn

Art. 1(c) clearly commits you to legislating for unity if there is agreement. The idea of independent structures for the island as a whole follows from that.

Prime Minister

It is also very provocative. As the Taoiseach has gaid, we must be very careful about perceptions. This would create a malign perception.

Taoiseach

I could describe some of the other points you have raised as likely to create malign perceptions. I do not understand how something which is in the Anglo-Irish Agreement could not be put in the document.

Prime Minister



The Anglo-Irish Agreement is not very popular.

Thomas

If we want to repeat Art. 1(c), it reads as follows: "If in the future etc".

Foreign Secretary

It's already in the text - as your suggestion.

Prine Minister

Let's park this point.

We now come to the most important issue of all: the constitutional guarantee. It is not in here and it will need to be if there is even to be a remote chance of agreement. I mornous the following:

The British Covernment reaffire Northern Ireland's statutory guarantes: more that the people of the island of Ireland Shorth and South should be free, separantly and mithout contrion or violance. To determine whether a muttad Ireland should be established; and serves that it is the people of the island of Ireland show on this hears to exercise their sight of self-determination.

The constitutional guarantee has been necessary from the outset.

I also have one tiny observation in the next sentence: we might remove the phrase "and collectively".

Mansergh



So your sentence about self-determination would replace the existing one in the text?

Prime Minister

Yes.

Taoiseach

I have to say that my overall impression is that the balance of this text has been totally and absolutely offended. There is nothing in it on which the fellows can hang their hats. The balance of the document has been overwhelmingly disturbed.

Set us think about the psychology which is involved here. As long as we are not interfering with principles, we should not interfere with the wording. Four key TLA positions are shearen from think occument the demand for British withdrawal is not thorny the insistence on the sayority on the island as a whole being free to overrich the wishes of a supporting Windows or the sayority within Northern Ireland is no longer there; the explicit demand that he british have to persuade the infinishing to except a united Trehand is not there; and violence as a means of pursuing political objectives is shadnown.

If somebody was trying to produce something which would give the IRA an opportunity to recruit for the next generation of paramilitaries, I would have to be very careful.

Let's adjourn for half an hour.

Prime Minister

You should look to see whether there is anything (in what I have proposed) which we have not said between us at some stage. Balance is a two-way operation. I do not want to replace IRA violence at one end with Loyalist violence at the What is in it for the IRA is democratic legitimacy

within a few weeks. No other British Government would have Let us not take an extravagant position on either side. There are some "bows and nods" here. I want to give the Provisionals a hook to get into talks.

been in a position to deliver this.

Taoiseach

I ask you also to consider my point, which is that the balance of the text has been very seriously disturbed. Can anyone show me where any British Government principles have been infringed (in the text as we have it)? We would be freezing the present Unionist position in time. Why not be generous with words if the basic principles are OK?

Prime Minister

The words have to mean something. They must nmean what our nolicy is. I and my two Ministerial colleagues have had to reaffirm on countless occasions what the constitutional position is. What are we to say if we reach a unique, solemn and binding agreement which does not make reference to this....

Foreign Secretary

- but which envisages the possible destruction of the Union?

Taoiseach



Do you think that that is in the document?

Foreign Secretary

It is spelled out in a sentence which we have not sought to change. The balance is skewed unless the constitutional quarantee is spelled out.

Taoiseach

A lot of changes have been made here. Mork on this document has been going on for the past six months. If things were so badly askew, I would have expected to hear from you about this before today.

Prime Minister

If we are saying that we are ready to legislate for Irish unity on a given day, it is not a practical proposition for us not also to incorporate the constitutional guarantee. We would be setting out clearly the circumstances in which the two Governments would be prepared to admit the IRA to legitimacy.

Taoiseach

The ultimate test must be whether the text we produce will bring us the big prize. My reaction is that the elements which might have enabled them to hang up their hats have now gone out of the text.

At this point, the meeting adjourned for half an hour in order to facilitate internal consultations.

When the meeting resumed, the Taoiseach indicated four key difficulties which the amendments proposed by the Princ



Ninister posed for the Irish Covernment. No note was taken of this discussion.