# Dermot Nally Papers

UCDA P254/88

SECRET Response to points raised in connection with the Joint Declaration

Joint Declaration 7 15/12/93

Dorumin St De Jardio

Origins of the Declaration

The Joint Declaration evolved in negotiation between the two Governments on the basis of the draft submitted by the Taolseach to the British Government in June 1991. That original draft, also known as Humer/Adams, was endotted by the IRA on the basis that it 'could provide the basis for lasting peace'.

Nuch of the text of the original draft and the Joint Declaration can be read in parallel. The wording the language and the concepts are similar, and in many cases identical. The central para. 4 of the June document does not any more than the Declaration, constitute Highlight Compensation to being persuaders for Irish unity. They accept the role of being persuaders for an agreed Ireland. There are three essential differences between the final Joint Declaration, on declaration and the original:

- a) The Joint Declaration is more broadly based and has a better community balance, and is intended to provide an acceptable democratic framework for all sections of the community
- b) The language in the Joint Declaration is more explicit, in terms of how the principles of selfdetermination on the one hand, and agreement and consent are to be reconciled, but the need for the

right of self-determination to be associated concurrently was already implicit in the June document as was clearly understood. The June document also involved in para. 5 in virtually identical language a clear acceptance of the principle of consent as set out in the Anglo-Trish Agreement. Accepting the June document involved acceptance of that as a political resulty.

# The Four Sinn Féin Principles

 The British Government should make the exercise of Irish national self-determination its policy objective.

# Response

In the Declaration they have effectively does so. Not merely is the frish right to self-determination recognized by the British Obverment explicitly for the first lime, but they have expressed as their primary increst the resching of agreement among all the people who inhabit the island, which vill embrace the totality of relationships. They moreover plodge 'to encourage, facilitate and enable the enhywement of such agreement over a period. They accept that the right of our distensimation may result in a united freshed, but also reaffirm's as binding exlipation to introduce the necessary legislation to give effect to it or any other measure of agreement which the people of Iraiand may themselves freely intermine without external impediament. An armsed feeland clearly represents a form of self-determination,

which would be recognised as such by the United Nations (see Taoiseach's speech on this subject).

2. 'A Dublin Government should have the same policy objective.

# Response

An agreed Ireland is the Irish Government's intermediate objective. A sovereign united Ireland by agreement and consent remains their longer-term objective.

 "Cooperation between the British and Dublin Government to bring about their joint purpose in the shortest possible time congristent with obtaining maximum consent to the process."

### Response

This would be the objective of a resumed deeper and vider process of negotiation, following a complete cessation of violence. The Bitish Secretary of State said on 20 January 1994 in a speech to Trinity Graduates in London that toopster with the Irish Covernment they would seek to create 'a framework within which agreement can be reached in a reasonable insurings!". The Firsh Covernment share that objective. An interin settlement that would create an agreed feland should be possible within a cessonably short period of time. It is not useful to set an exact Geadline. A long-term settlement, that would involve for information controlled that the controlled tha

 'Democracy and practicality demand that this be done in consultation and cooperation with the representatives of the Irish minority, the unionists, as well as all the other parties. In effect the beginning of a process of national resometilation'.

## Response

The Irish Government are (aily committed to this. The Sritish Government in para. 4 declares that 'Their primary interest is to see peace, stability and remonitation established by agreement among all the people who inhabit the island, and they will work together with the Irish Government to achieve such an agreement'.

# Other Points in the letter to the Taoiseach

The Declaration clearly sets out the circumstances, in which the British jurisdiction will end, and the democratic path which can achieve that.

Para. 4 of the Declaration makes it clear that in the view of the Maritah Governant it is for the people of the island of freshand alone to exercise their right of self-decemination. They will undervite any measure of agreement on future relationships in Treshand which the people living in Foliand as a whole may thesesives freely determine without external impedience. They accept that such agreement may and right, tast the form of agreed structures for the sland as a whole, including a united Treshand achieves by passerial means. The Strikin Covernment have not unitateally imposed conditions on the species of self-determination. The condition of consent has been fressly accepted by the first Covernment and silt the parties in call fireman and by the SEEP, in endorsing both the Ample-frish Ampresser and the Joint Declaration. Any test which could be devised to establish the will of the people of Tesland, including of course the Chimnist people, who are fully entitled to share in Irish melf-determination, would show a newerhelming majority against coercion or violence in pursuit of frish unity or any other political cause.

Under intermetional law, self-determination can only be exercised concurrently in divide countries. The Tooleach's speech to the UCD Gradiantes Taw Spointy poes in to this autor in detail, and explains that the UC Charce and the CCC, which recognise the right to self-determination, permit is no circumstance whatever the use of force to settle international disputes or change frontiers.

# Major's House of Commons Statement

- On 15 December 1993 Prime Minister Major said in reply to James Molyneaux:
  - 'I can confirm to him that the joint declaration does not assert the value of achieving a united feeland; does not assert the legitimacy of a united frealed in the absence of assert type consent; does not either commit the British Government to joining the ranks of the prereaders for a united frealed. That is not the job of any British united frealed. That is not the job of any British

Government. It does not set any timetable for a united Ireland. It does not commit the people of Northern Ireland to join a united Ireland against their wishes, and it does not establish any arrangements for joint authority.

It has been alleged that these statements are contradictory with the Joint Declaration, and that they rule out a united Ireland and joint authority, in the manner of Mrs. Thatcher's 'Out, Out, Out' statement.

While the language and sephesis is Nr Major's own, which must surely be judged in the light of his parliamentary situation and his concern to minnings Unionis opposition and would not necessarily have been chosen by the Irish Government, there is nothing in his suffement fundamentally inconsistent with the Joint Declaration. Each proposition will be examined in turn.

 'The Joint Declaration does not assert the value of achieving a united Ireland'

Commant: Pers. 4 is formally neutral in upholding the democratic wish of a greater number of the people of Northern Ficials on the Issue of whether they prefer to support the Union or a nowesign united Treland. The question of persuading the British to accept the value of a united Freizn is something the Trish Downment are entitled to purse in the talks process the reference entitled to purse in the talks process the reference entitled from a leaked frish draft of a Stream III document. It does set as its goal agreement among the people of feeland, which many fininits actively conscious of the prepondence of the Nationalist visposition to island as a whole, even now might tend to regard as corresponding more with the Nationalist equal. Moreover, the Declaration respects the cipits and identities of the communities, which precludes any return to former pateurs of Whinnist domination, in Northern Freland.

'Does not assert the legitimacy of a united Ireland in the absence of majority consent'.

# Comment:

'The desect of majority consent' is what powers the comment. The whole of Pars. 4 clearly recognises the legitimacy of a mixed Tendan vita majority consent. Sir Patrick Maybew confirmed this interpretation in the House of Commons on 21 January When he stated that 'to those who hope to see a sovereign and united reland, the Desiration gives reassurance that their appirations, when pursued by peaceful means, are fully legitimate.

 'Does not commit the British Government to joining the ranks of the persuaders for a united Ireland'

### Comment

True. But they are persuaders for an agreed Ireland.

4. 'It does not set any timetable for a united Ireland.'

Comment

True. Neither did the June document.

 'It does not commit the people of Northern Ireland to join a united Ireland <u>against their wishes'</u>.

True. Same point essentially as 2.

'It does not establish arrangements for joint authority',

Comment

The Declaration neither rules out nor rules in joint authority. This or any other changes in political structures on the island would clearly be a matter for necotiation.

# Processes to reach an agreed Ireland

Numerous statements have been made by both Governments with regard to the objectives of the three stranded talks process, the framework for which was formally see out by the Secretary of State Perce Stroke on 28 March 1991. The leaked Stread III paper, published in the frish Press, while not finally approved, gives some indication of the type of approach the frish Government might adopt at the present time. The Ancrean talks that suturn have kept the falls process going in a low key, while attention has been on other major political developments such as the Joint Declaration. A more high-powered approach will be adopted, once the response to the Joint Declaration is fally

clarified, and the way would be free for comprehensive negotiation to address the many practical issues of concern to the Nationalist people.

The purpose of exploratory dialogue has been set out by the Secretary of State in his Commons statement of 11 January, and he has also elaborated on the calks process. Further briefing on this process can be supplied, if required. The frish convergence will play a very active part in premoting demilitarization on both sides of the border, and will press for the reopening of all cross-border roads, the closure and dismanting of obtrainty security installations, the removal of repressive legislation, and on early review of sentences as well as any other outeranding issues, required to cleave up from a security or judicial point of view the legacy of the past 29 wars.

We are conding out on an informal basis with all our influential friends around the world the kind of apport that we might expect to be put in place after acceptance of the pace declaration, with a particular concentration on disadvantaged urban areas and the border regions. Justices Delice, the President of the Commission, has in a positive statement acknowledged that the acknowment of pecse would bring many social and occommunications are accepted to the present of the concentration of the contract of t

best be done.

Nothing together in Burps and its institutions and in the fingle Regret will help to make the border more and more interlement, as time goes by. Tourism, transport, investment, trade and cultural relations are all immediate areas to be explored for joint participation for the benefit of all the people of Ireland. These are matters to be explored and exploited, after the Peace Declaration has been emorged.

### The Playour of the Declaration

The Declaration arises from an Irish initiative. The first five paragraphs and the penultimate three paragraphs are primarily addressed to Nationalists. The final paragraph is addressed equally to both communities. Even the paragraphs addressed to Unionists were inserted at the initiative of the Irish Government, not of the British Government. The Taoiseach would not accept that the document has a heavy Unionist flavour. Nor has such a claim been made by any Unionist spokesman. Even those Unionists who support the Declaration have made it clear they dislike much of the language. The DUP have rejected it outright. Para. 4 in particular has a strongly Nationalist flavour. By far the greater part of the substance of the document has evolved from the original draft endorsed by John Hume and Gerry Adams. The conciliatory new paragraphs addressed to Unionists underline the importance of a process of national reconciliation. It is surely not a concession to Unionists to lay to rest many uninformed or outdated fears concerning the attitudes of the triah devemment or the nature of sociaty in this jurisdiction, and to assure them that as of now Intionalizes who appire to partnership with them on the island do so on the basis of respect and extent for their tradition. Any constitutional changes would only be made on the basis of and as a result of direct respectations with fluorists which resulted in the achievement of an overall settlement containing a balanced constitutional accomposition.

Most of the language of the Occiantion in regard to rights and dientities is drawn from the Forms Report and the Application Agreement and also from the three strand talks. Securing the rights and equality of Nationalists is a primary concern of the trish Government, both in the Application Conference and in the talks process. (See the Nationalists Irish Association Speech). The Irish Government today are in a far stronger position to vindicate the rights of Nationalists than was the case in 1969, and has a formal standing in that repard under the Anglo-Irish Agreement.

# Package for moving to the next stage of the Peace Process The following items are on the table:

The Joint Declaration itself, with British recognition for the first time of the Irish right of selfdetermination and the commitment of both Governments to work for an agreed Ireland, which may as of right include the establishment of a sovereign united freland. In particular, there is a solenn British commitment to implement any agreement reached between North and South.

- The Forum for Peace and Reconciliation to be established by the Taoiseach.
- The exploratory talks promised by the British Government, leading to participation in full-scale round table negotiations.
- Acceptance of the principle of demilitarisation on all sides.

# Clarification sought from the British

The degree to which the British Government are willing to engage in further clarification is primarily a matter for them.

Without infringing on the freedom of either party to engage in with communication as they see fit, its excrealy needs to be pointed out that the history of such bilateral displayed has not been a happy one, either recently or in the 1970s. Conducted at mans length and to a large extent on a demitable basis, secret assumances and understandings, particularly if they are any way in advance of orficial positions, are of doubtful standing. Statements from British Owenment representatives to the effect that "the fital solution is union, it is going to happen anyway—thionnists will have to change. The island will be a new are maturally untended to be a reductive assessment of the future. The pursue of south assumances and understandings by way of

'clarification' may be of limited value, even if successful, since of their nature any such secret constituents can be desided or regarded as not binding. Mistory has shown that the British tactic has always been one of divide and compute. Unionists do trust the British Government in the longer term. Commitment obtained in Interpovernmental nepolitation or in an open forum can be far more easily visitinged by the frish Government and the wider Nationalist community at his constituent.