Dermot Nally Papers

UCDA P254/97



Sean O'Rourke:

It all depends on whether you repart the glass as half empty or half full, me key official is quoted today as septing in the afterment of Friday's Anglo Frish meeting at Dublin Carala. Albert Payrolds and John Major agreed to continue working on a joint settement which in the Toolseach's words, 'would hepatilly be the foundation for pance,' but the use man admitted that they had still a number of obstacles to clear in the partiod shade. The Toolseach joins us more in ratio, ports walcome Toolseach.

Albert Reynolds: (An Taoiseach)

Thank you.

Sean O'Rourke:

Can you tell us what exactly are the issues to be addressed in that joint statement in which you're working?

Albert Reynolds:

Well I don't think is would be wise to go into the minus (pho) of the text or the details but it is true that we extend our discussions on a document that has been, that was first of all presented to the British Government by the first dovernment and secondly that has been verted upon by officials of both Governments sized last July. This was the first opportunity for the Government ministers and indeed that two Frize Ministers to six in judgment and start to go through the Gournment which we did and as is, as is mormal in good ealld negotiations we went through it line by line, paragraph by prayragph and extracted those issues that were still causing

8743302:# 3

T BY:DUBLIN PRESS OFFICE. : 8-12-93 : 15:53 :DEPT OF FOREIGN AFF. -

problems to both sides. We just parked them so to speak and we went on with the rest of the document. It is true to say that we made solid progress, that ower helf of the document has already beam agreed, that there are very sections issues still to be addressed by both sides and we commissioned more work boreom our cases of officials between now and the meeting in Broscels next week to monitor what progress we have made since they are consistent when the since they are consistent when the since they are progressed as the size of the size o

PAGE 2

Sean O'Rourke:

But surely though the fact that there was no, there wasn't even a communicae, not even a short brief statement issued afterwards, that would suggest that you're still poles apart.

Albert Raynolds:

In sight, we are, we have already educated we have difficulties between its, that desart by that woult be ship to overcome them, there will be difficult discussions along the way but I think it's important or remind verypoley that they was extend members in want a summit, it wasn't supported and we clearly flapped that in drawner, it want's expected that a communities would once out; but that we would indeed say how far we had got and continue our work and I think that's what is imported in that the paper initiative is still firstly on course but there are considered upon the way as I said there are read below there, some we anticipated, some we don't and 'In were there'll be more difficulties put in our way before the end of the day.

Sean O'Rourker

Ascording to warious reports in the aftermach of the seeming there may several issues, can we just maybe take that individually, for instance, this notion of self-determination now you've said I think that you'd like to see the British acknowledge that the future of the island of Ireland is a matter for the people of Ireland is a matter for the people of Ireland is determined with consent freely given borth add South. Is there a problem that this will be seen as something which maybe would be calculated on an all-Trackade basis and members overall undermines the finding?

Albert Reynolds:

I think that's the fear that is out there and I think some British media during the week I think were soon to be presenting, presenting it in that light. That is not the position of the Irish Government. Me are not looking for self-determination of the island as a whole calculated as one single entity. We have said that, there will be no change in the status of Northern Ireland without a majority there and I think this issue was clarified very clearly on a BRC newsnight television programme the other night when the Government Whip, Noel Dempsey and Michael Mates were there and when Noel Dempsey clarified it Michael Mates said that's fine, that clears up any misunderstandings or mis-statements about it and it is quite acceptable to him, so there shouldn't be problems in that regard.

Sean O'Rourkes

Wall if it's quite acceptable to Michael Mates is it not quite acceptable to John Major, presumably you said the same to him as Noel Dempuey said to Nichael Mates?

Albert Reynolds:

Of course and those are issues as I say, that we still have to maybe work on on the phraseology in relation to them between now and the next meeting and monitor our progress then but that doesn't say that we won't find a formula. I think what, what people need to understand here is that I believe that both communities in the North want a new beginning, I believe a new beginning is absolutely necessary and a new beginning should be, I think the starting point for a new beginning should be peace, a cessation of violence and that this initiative that we're engaged upon is trying to produce a formula that can bring a cessation of violence about, that people want a sense of direction, a sense of turning away from the last twentyfive years of arms conflict between the two communities and the British security forces and that this statement would clearly signal a new direction and a new approach and indeed bring the people that are involved in violence into the political disloque after a cessation of violence, I think that's basic what we're trying to do.

PAGE 4 Sean O'Rourke; Fine, and that is what you've been emphasising for, for many weeks and indeed months now but clearly the British have some difficulty with it, is it that they want you to move a little more than you've been prepared to on Constitutional matters?

Albert Reynolds: Well our, the Government's position and my position on Constitutional matters and Articles 2 & 3 are quite clear and unequivocal, I have said there will be no unilateral change in Articles 2 & 3, but in the event of a balanced settlement enamating from the talks process, which is a separate process to this, that the Irish Government would indeed put a Referendum to the Irish people ...

Sean O'Rourke:

Bight, but ...

Albert Reynolds:

To bring about whatever changes are required in that situation. Now, let me say, let me go on to say this, John Major accepted that in principal during the general election campaign when he was making his speech on Northern Ireland, James Molyneaux after my appearance on the David Frost programme said he was now quite clearly of the view as to where the Irish Government stood and I think there's general acceptance that that's what people wanted to hear from us that in the event of an overall settlement that there would be a Referendum held and give the Irish, the Irish people the opportunity to voice their view because this is a people's issue.

Sean O'Rourke:

But is that something which is going to be tied into the joint statement on which you're working at the present time?

Albert Reynolds:

Well I, I, I think that all those fundamental issues are issues for the talks process, however ...

67633021# 6

PAGE 5

Sean O'kourker

So you're not prepared to give the Constitutional promise in the joint statement?

Albert Reynolds; I'm not prepared to make any unilateral change in the Constitution or to declare that in, in a statement ...

Sean O'Rourker

Or did you have a commitment to it?

Albert Reynolds:

I will continue to say or write it if somebody wants it the present position of the Irish Government and continue to say that what is our position is and any talks and anything else on changes of a, to reach a balanced Constitutional agreement is appropriate to the talks process and there's where it has to be teased out and what I'm talking about is a balanced Constitutional settlement.

Sean O'Rourker

But as you say there are two processes, there's the peace one and there's the talks one but you are prepared in the joint statement on which you're working at the present time to make the kind of commitment you've spoken about?

Albert Reynolds:

The present commitment that's there, that hasn't changed and if somebody wants it in writing I don't have any problems with that but I don't see that it's, it's absolutely necessary, I've said it often enough but if, if it, if it bridges the gap, okay.

Sean O'Rourker

Right now the British Prime Minister and his Northern Secretary, Mr Mayhew both said during the week, Mr Major said it when he sat beside you at the Press Conference that Britain was not prepared to join the persuaders, is that a particular problem for you, I mean, what do you want them to persuade, presumably you want them to persuade the Unionists?

Albert Reynolds;

I want them to participate in making a statement that's clearly signals a new beginning for, for all the parties concerned, the two communities concerned up there, the people that are involved in violence on both sides and indeed the two Governments as well, in other words that we will accept what, what the two communities agree at what everybody sitting around the table agrees at in the end will be accepted by the Irish Government and will be supported by action by the Irish Government, I want the British Government to say the same thing. I think the real persuading has to be done between the two communities, who after all are the people that, where the conflict is involved and indeed that they have to work out and persuade each other how they're going to live in future and then turn to the two Governments and say that's the position we want solidified by both Governments. What I want this peace initiative and indeed the statement from the two Governments to point to a new beginning, to indeed a situation where hopefully we can get a cessation of violence and that becomes the starting point for the new beginning and them let the talks process take

Sean O'Rourkes

Do you agree Tecimizes the visit the view put forward by Cardinal Doly in that address as 'Mestimater Goring the weak whan he said that it wouldn't be consistent with fritish poilty nor would it be unfair or injurious to it contains the the promisent of the training when paralleled by a formal acceptance by the British Constitute to the Window were paralleled by a formal acceptance by the British Constitute of the Nettonials as not a United Freiand achieved by consent and agreement, is that what you're arenally.

place in a changed environment of peace and no violence and I believe

that's where the real progress can be made.

Albert Reynolds:

I think that, that phraseology and that wording is extracted from the famous leaked document that was being put together by officials, the document that was being drafted by the officials in the Department of Foreign Affairs for presentation to Government, that's where

that kind of phreselopy comes from. What I say now and what I have alongs said is that document is now neighbor to the paper initiative, that document when it's finalized by the Government or another Goument [line it will be presented indeed for the table process and it is in that process that there issues should be tussed out. I want to keep the process that the simple should be tussed out. I want to keep the process of the process of the process of the process. The process of the process of the process.

Sean O'Rourker

But that's not something that you want them to agree to say in the doing statement that you're working on now?

Albert Reynolds:

I'm not using those words, those words are distracted from a statement that are appropriate to the talks process and I believe that's where they should be considered.

Sean O'Rourke:

Now are you still, do you still take the view that, as you put it forward at the Flanna Fail Ard Fheis a few weeks ago that we can still see the beginnings of peace by Christmas?

Albert Reynolds:

Well that's nature if we can reach agreement in time, there's a mod for peace, mobely denies there's a mod for peace, mobely denies there's a mod for peace, about Major has ninural scopped that the base opportunity for a cossenion of viscione exists more than ever carried for the last wrateries. I think there is apple weidsone throughout the length and breath to this country and indeed in the SITTLE most peace that the present control of the complete of the

Sean O'Rourke:

But is it, is it contingent though on the British actually accepting

this declaring this right of the Irish people to self-determination?

Albert Reynolds:

First of all it's contingent on the two deveranchs striving is a statement that in both our judgenster will indeed five a speak for a new beginning that the propie involved in arms configure on respond to that the purpose of this joint statement we're worked and the propies of the joint statement we're worked to the propies have to make a judgement at the end of the day that those any we're have to make a judgement at the end of the day that those any we're have to be able to the propies of the p

Sean O'Rourke:

But you've promised them already a place at the table though, I mean if they cease their violence, now what more do you think you should give them?

Albert Reynolds:

What they want to see is that people are clearly of a mind to seek a new beginning, we know what is, the futile and indeed the way the whole thing has developed over the last twenty-five years, everybody accepts there's going to be no military solution on either side. What I believe is needed is that the two Governments signal quite clearly that the Governments are looking for a new beginning, that they want everyone to join with us in a new beginning and that by our statement we will give the signal and the direction that there is a new beginning there to be taken up and hopefully that the men of violence will see that the futility of twenty-five years has got them nowhers and that consequently they'll join the dialogue and the talks process and that we have to ensure that there is a position for them at an early date to join that process and indeed make their own input into how the future of a new Ireland is going to be brought about, a new Ireland that the two communities in the first instance can persuade each other that there's a better way shead than the way they have been engaged upon for the last twentyfive years.

Sean O'Rourker

An early date, how early is carly?

Albert Reynolds:

This thing of telling dates and that I have said on a number of occasions that I believe there's an opportunity there that hasn't been there, I don't believe that time is on our side, I don't believe that the mood for peace will carry on indefinitely and that's why I always try to place it as a matter of urgency between the two Governments.

Sean O'Rourke:

Right, but you're saying that there will be a place for them at an early date now I'm trying to astablish how long this quarantine is to last?

Albert Reynolds:

Well this is a matter, this is a matter for agreement between the two Governments, this is a matter for agreement by the two Governments and hopefully we'll get to that issue if not at the next meeting hopefully at a meeting after that.

Sean O'Rourke:

You're going to talk again in Brussels next week, are you, do you expect that there will be much progress between now and then?

Albert Reynolds:

Well it's difficult to say, I think at least we have isolated areas where there can be a focused attention by all parties by the teams on both sides to look at different approaches to the problems that have been thrown up and whatever progress is made they may need a further political input and that's what, what both Prime Ministers will give, will give the process when we meet in Brussels probably on Friday or Saturday. You see we cannot be definitive about what day or what time or how much time we can give to it in Brussels.

SENT BY: DUBLIN PRESS OFFICE. : 6-12-83 : 15:59 :DEPT OF FOREISW AFF. →

6753302:#11

PAGE 10

Sean O'Rourker

Taoiseach, Albert Reynolds, thank you very much indeed for joining

Albert Reynolds:

us.

Thank you.
