

FROM: A J WHYSALL
Political Development Team
11 June 1996

11 JUNE 1996

1. While a number of parties expressed reservations. These concerns were expressed during the "Guidelines" paper setting out the operation of the chair during the session. The appointment of chairmen and the session.

2. It is believed that, for the session to be successful, it would be beneficial to appoint as many as possible. These appointments having been made, the session would also be fully considered, and the opening plenary would not be proposed agenda tabled on 6 June (Opening Statements).

cc PS/Secretary of State (B&L) - B
PS/Sir John Wheeler (B&L) - B
PS/Michael Ancram (B&L) - B
PS/Baroness Denton (L) - B
PS/Mr Moss (L) - B
PS/PUS (B&L) - B
PS/Sir David Fell - B
Mr Legge - B
Mr Thomas (B&L) - B
Mr Bell - B
Mr Leach (B&L) - B
Mr Steele - B
Mr Watkins - B
Mr Wood (B&L) - B
Mr Beeton - B
Mr Carson - B
Mr Hill - B
Mr Lavery - B
Ms Checksfield - B
Ms Harrison - B
HMA Dublin - B
Mr Jeffrey, Cabinet Office
Mr Hallet, Dublin - B
Mr Lamont, RID - B
Mr Westmacott, Washington

Mr Stephens - B

3. This proposal is put forward in order to underline that the opening plenary must be to the satisfaction of the participants. The proposed independent I attach for the information of you and colleagues a paper agreed between the Governments (and with the independent chairmen), and now distributed to the parties. The Government has said it is available for discussions about it; otherwise it will be discussed when the (pre-) plenary resumes, at 11.00 or shortly after.

consider the following proposal for the day's business:

Signed

A J WHYSALL
CB Ext 22287

11 JUNE 1996

A POSSIBLE APPROACH TO RESOLVING PROCEDURAL DIFFICULTIES

1. While a number of parties indicated at the 10 June session that they were content with the proposals for the management of business set out in the 6 June papers "Scenario for the opening plenary session", "Procedural Guidelines" and "Draft Agenda", others expressed reservations. These concerns focussed (in particular) on the "Guidelines" paper setting out the procedures which would be operated by the chair during the negotiations, but also covered the appointment of chairmen and the agenda for the opening plenary session.
2. It is believed that, for the orderly transaction of business, it would be beneficial to appoint Independent Chairmen as soon as possible. These appointments having been made, points of concern about the procedural guidelines would then be fully explored in an appropriate forum, and the agenda for the opening plenary session would also be fully considered, and pending resolution of these issues the opening plenary would not move beyond item 6 on the proposed agenda tabled on 6 June (Opening Statements).
3. This proposal is put forward in order to underline that the procedural and other arrangements for the opening plenary must be to the satisfaction of the participants. The proposed Independent Chairmen have also authorised the Governments to make clear that they recognise that ultimately agreement in these and all other matters in these negotiations is a matter for the participants.
4. It is therefore proposed that the 11 June meeting should consider the following proposal for the day's business:
 1. Appointment to chairmanships of Senator Mitchell (Plenary), General de Chastelain (Strand 2 and Business Committee) and Mr Holkeri (Alternate Chairman).

2. Introductory remarks by Chairman.
3. Participants to make formal declaration making clear their total and absolute commitment to International Body's principles of democracy and non-violence.
4. Public statement on behalf of all participants recording total and absolute commitment to principles.
5. Appointment of committee of plenary.

5. At this point the proposed Procedural Guidelines would be remitted for consideration in the committee of plenary formed for the purpose and chaired by the group of independent chairmen, which may also address aspects of the agenda for the opening plenary set out in the "Scenario" paper. (That agenda in any case envisaged items on the agenda and procedural rules.) While the "Scenario" paper itself sets out the approach the two Governments propose, it is acknowledged that each of the participants will wish to argue their own point of view and that on this, as on other issues, the negotiations will need to proceed by agreement.

6. It is proposed that, while the committee of plenary is deliberating, the plenary itself would move on to the opening statements by participants (item 6 on the proposed agenda). When that is concluded, the next item of business would be a report from the committee of plenary (to be submitted by lunchtime on Wednesday 19 June), which the plenary would consider with a view to reaching agreement on the procedural guidelines, the rest of the agenda for the opening plenary session, and any other outstanding points.

7. Pending the outcome of the work of the committee of the plenary, the procedural rules circulated on 6 June would operate.