



SECRETARY OF STATE  
FOR  
NORTHERN IRELAND

David Trimble Esq MP  
House of Commons  
LONDON  
SW1A 0AA

NORTHERN IRELAND OFFICE -

WHITEHALL -

LONDON SW1A 2AZ -

Dear Mr. Trimble:

As I mentioned to you yesterday, we have been working with the Irish to put together a paper which summarises our proposals on how the decommissioning issue should be handled.

I enclose a paper which has now been agreed between us: it is presented as a draft statement of the conclusions which plenary might be invited to reach as the outcome of the "address" to decommissioning.

We have worked very hard with the Irish to achieve agreement on this and there does not seem to be any further room for manoeuvre. It goes as far as we believe is possible to meet your stated concerns while remaining consistent with the two Governments' policy positions.

I want to ask you to weigh the paper very carefully before reaching any conclusion on it. I look forward to discussing it with you tomorrow. I hope you will set out your reactions at the next trilateral planned for Monday.

I recognise that this paper will raise difficult issues for you, requiring most careful consideration. I am ready to do my best to present the case for moving forward on this basis to plenary in the most positive and sympathetic manner. I would make clear that, in

*English legislation  
Panic in the streets*

*Dependable*

*What is credible  
ceasefire / unequivocal  
or genuine  
genuine ceasefire?*

*1994 ceasefire not likely  
but it is 1994 that you  
will judge?*

25 September 1996





summary, what we are proposing is the establishment of a clear structure of purposive action to take forward decommissioning alongside political negotiations within the Talks process. This includes:

- the commitment by both Governments to introduce their enabling legislation early in the coming session with the aim of getting it enacted by Christmas. That timetable of course assumes a supportive Parliamentary climate which in turn would be influenced by the perception of continuing constructive engagement in the negotiations as a whole.
- a commitment which would be binding on all present and future participants to work constructively to implement all aspects of the Report of the International Body, including the compromise approach to decommissioning, in the context of an inclusive and dynamic process in which mutual trust and confidence is built as progress is made on all the issues of concern to all participants. The reality for all present and future participants is that progress in the Talks will only be possible on this basis.
- the legislation will make provision for an Independent Commission to be established as a key part of the decommissioning process. However, the establishment of this Commission must in practice await the passage of the legislation, and must also be based on essential preparatory work. The Governments propose the establishment of a Committee of the plenary, as the vehicle for that work.



- this Committee would of course require appropriate **resources** to get on with its essential tasks, which would include working out the precise role of the Independent Commission proposed in the Report of the International Body and provided for in the draft legislation. For this purpose, and to ensure **continuity** between the work of the Committee and the operation of the Commission, the Governments will provide to the Committee a range of expert personnel, whose work and expertise will then be available to the Commission when it is established. The Governments will in addition invite to assist the Committee **independent experts of international standing**, whom we would envisage playing an appropriate part in the work of the Commission when it is set up.
- we have therefore accepted the value both in terms of **practicality and confidence-building** of establishing a clear link in this way between the work of the Committee in the early stages of substantive negotiation and the eventual work of the Commission, thereby ensuring that neither works in a vacuum.
- with these technical resources, the Committee could undertake **from day one** an active programme of essential preparatory work. This would include
  - (a) working up into a **series of alternative schemes** the different options for decommissioning outlined in the Report of the International Body;





- (b) carrying out a rigorous practical analysis of the precise role, powers and privileges of the Independent Commission, as required by each of the possible schemes, as an essential preliminary to agreement on the modalities and the formal establishment of the Commission with the appropriate personnel, resources, powers and so on;
- (c) considering the necessary timing and sequencing of decommissioning.

The Committee would also address the context in which a decommissioning scheme could be developed (including the progressive confidence building measures outlined in the Report) and the impact of the requirement for decommissioning to be mutual as between Republicans and Loyalists.

- the Committee would review this work and, once the necessary basis of agreement existed, make recommendations to the Governments, who would then finalise and promulgate a scheme for decommissioning, and precise arrangements for the Commission, as soon as they were satisfied that a workable scheme of decommissioning had been identified which would be capable of finding broad acceptance among the parties and among those expected to decommission.
- the Governments also propose that a special Plenary session should be convened in December to take stock of progress in the negotiations as a whole, including the work of the Committee.





Thus, the Committee would have a clear work programme and the resources to carry it through. Its work could not be stalled by the absence of any party. Moreover, the commitment which the Governments would be seeking from all parties to the negotiations would be to work constructively to secure implementation of the report of the International Body, including the compromise approach to decommissioning. When that commitment is made, everyone will expect it to be honoured in good faith, in the context of an overall process of negotiations which builds mutual trust and confidence.

I trust you and your party will feel able to join in moving the process forward on that basis.

*Yours sincerely*  
*Joanna Nixon*

PM

(Approved by the Secretary of State  
and signed in his absence)