

6.25pm 16/9/97

DT] Why the pre-amble? It uses language that is not acceptable.
• We do not accept (i) & (ii)
• Regarding (3) What about GMS from forwards?

DH] Others in the process might have problems with this.

MD] Says he doesn't see problem with GMS words.

Reg] Says we are taking a back on decision

● PM] Says he have put a vast bulk of your Paper into this.

SDS] We spent 5hrs in neg trying to get 9/10th of your paper into this
- Says they had difficulty with Irish & SDV.

Boke for a ^{private} meeting → 6.5pm dinner

DT] We can see that this document has a number of points in it
Need to go away & look at it

SDS] Indicates difficulty with ^{task} ^{hilly} ~~turning~~ of papers together

● DT] → 2(B) Highlights two changes here. 'notes with interest'
- This should be about dealing with commitments.
Who has a difficulty with this?

DH] A number of participants.

DT] This is a virtual direct quote from D. Hart.

↳ The Irish govt should not/cannot vote against.

DH] The ^{SCAPUS+IG} SDP wants

SD] Notes point that the bottom part of 1 → Notes the Irish

At 10:15 PM to explain pg 3 'systems' information.

↳ He says to allow p' to raise day-to-day matters.

↳ pg 2 2012

Passer (iv) 2(a) → Dr. Say (M) feels he is Ch. of Panel 2 and was approached by HRC to do so.

• There is no point in raising the same point 'th.'

↳ S2] says well de Chasteln is Ch. of Decisions.

At 10:15 PM Dr. Say (M) feels that (M) would be chair of S2. Then we are told there might be a problem as regards time. Then (M) objected to this.

↳ Then later this week we were told that we expected (M) to be Ch. (M) is still the Chairman.

At 10:15 PM Dr. Say (M) feels that (M) is Ch. of S2.

→ we said last year we would not have (M) in Ch. of S2.

→ Also need a person from HM connected in this stand.

KM] says we would want (M) in Ch. of S2.

85] says (M) is not interested in changing the Bureau Committee.

KM] says/announces HRC that (M) is no longer the servant of this process.

Conclusion ① 2(b) is the most important

② (M) is not to have chair of S2

Dr. Say will we leave over the chairmanship

OT] Says we have a serious difficulty with the reporting of the Senator

PM] Points out that (1) may look at the chair of Bus Committee.
• Agrees (2) is chief of Econ Committee + is best man for job.

OT] Says he has substantial concern about Jones's ^{Palko} detailed agenda.
Needs to be looked at. Getting no answers from ~~OT~~ HLG.

OT] Says these have been addressed in pg 3 (v) & (vi)

OT] You suggest Business Committee will have oversight over this

↳ Records our失望 but govt renege on position as agreed yesterday with Murphy
at intervals of 2 months.

KM] You have brought the ERS into Palko, I will not encourage ^{my} Palko to negotiate with the ERS if you are going to allow Sen to make concessions which he will return to in future.

OT] Notes with greatest concern that of review mechanism is lost for decision → New document loses the 25th language.

