10. 15 AM 17 July 1996

Key Paragraphs: SDLP and UUP

SDLP Proposal	UUP Proposals	Comments
	(UK 1) The negotiations to	UUP: Proposed omission as
	which these rules of procedure	indicated (strike-through text).
	refer and whose conduct they	
	are intended to govern are, as	SDLP: Have indicated a
	provided for by Section 2(1) of the Northern Ireland (Entry to	willingness to withdraw their
	Negotiations, etc) Act 1996, the	proposed para. 1 if UK-
	negotiations, etc) Act 1990, the	proposed language is retained, presumably in its entirety.
	Command Paper 3232 (Ground	presumably in its entirety.
	Rules for Substantive All-Party	
	Negotiations) published on 16	
	April 1996.	
	(UK 1A) The conduct	UUP: Proposed omission and
	proceedings and outcome of	additions as indicated (strike-
	these negotiations is exclusively	through text and italics,
	a matter for those involved in	respectively).
	the negotiations. These rules of	
	procedure are adopted for that purpose as the single set of rules	
	of procedure for the conduct of	
	the negotiations. These rules	
	can only be amended by	
	agreement, in accordance with	
	the decision-making process	
	outlined in paragraphs 23	
	through 28, following discussion	
	in the Business Committee.	
		UUP: There is a small problem
		with the Government's wording
		of para. 7, by leaving out the word "solely", but, if other
		things were resolved
		satisfactorally, the omission of
	J. 100	this word could not prove a
		major difficulty.
		SDLP paper makes no reference
(15A) All participants in the	(15A) All participants in the	to para. 7.
negotiations will negotiate in	negotiations will negotiate in	UUP: "These paragraphs (15-17) have been re-ordered and in
good faith, seriously address all	good faith, seriously address all	some cases amended. The
aspects of the agreed agenda,	aspects of the agreed agenda,	general order is agreed with the
and make every effort to reach a	and make every effort to reach a	SDLP. The old paragraph
comprehensive agreement.	comprehensive agreement.	numbers are in pencil in
They will maintain	They will maintain	brackets. Our proposals are
confidentiality on all aspects of	confidentiality on all aspects of	enclosed."
the negotiations except where they may from time to time	the negotiations except where	
agree to publicity.	they may from time to time	UUP: Areas of disagreement:
agree to publicity.	agree publicity (sic).	Slight change of wording in new
		para 15A.

Legend: Boldface indicate differences between the SDLP and UUP texts.



SDLP Proposals	UUP Proposals	Comments
(15) The negotiations in the	(15) The negotiations in the	UUP: Areas of disagreement:
various formats, committees and	various formats, committees and	New para 15, we have excluded
sub-committees, will be on the	sub-committees, will be on the	the sentence, "Without
basis of a comprehensive agenda	basis of a comprehensive agenda	prejudicein the negotiations".
for the negotiations as a whole,	for the negotiations as a whole,	We would like this sentence
adopted by agreement, in the	adopted by agreement by the	dropped, but the SDLP were in
opening plenary, as it relates to	relevant participants in the	the alternative (sic) to produce a
the participants' area of	opening plenary, as it relates to	new wording.
competence. Without	the participants' area of	
prejudice to any participants'	competence. Participants may,	SDLP language is identical to
negotiating position that	by agreement, develop or refine	that proposed by the British
agenda will be such as to	the comprehensive agenda.	Government.
enable each participant to		
raise any significant issue of		
concern to them and without		
their ability to do so being		
subject to any veto of any		
other party in the		
negotiations. Participants may,		
by agreement, develop or refine		
the comprehensive agenda.		,
(17A) Any participant in the	(17A) Any participant in the	UUP: Areas of disagreement:
format in question will be free to	format in question will be free to	"New para. 17A - Piece in
raise any aspect of the three	raise any aspect of the three	brackets not agreed. Suggested
relationships, including	relationships, including	new wording: 'The proceedings
constitutional issues and any	constitutional issues and any	and outcome of the negotiations
other matter which it considers	other matter which it considers	will be exclusively a matter for
relevant. The procedures and	relevant. (The procedures and	the relevant participants'."
outcome of the negotiations will	substance of the negotiations	
be exclusively a matter for the	will be exclusively a matter for	(Note: It is unclear from the
relevant participants. No	the relevant participants). No	UUP note who is proposing the
outcome is either predetermined	outcome is either pre-	new language referred to, i.e.
or excluded in advance or	determined or excluded in	whether the new wording in
limited by anything other than	advance or limited by anything	question is proposed by the
the need for agreement.	other than the need for	SDLP or the UUP. The
Participation in negotiations is	agreement. Participation in	language corresponds with that
without prejudice to any	negotiations is without prejudice	included in the SDLP paper).
participants' commitment to the	to any participant's commitment	
achievement by exclusively	to the achievement by	
peaceful means of its preferred options. It is common ground	exclusively peaceful means of	
	its preferred options. It is	
that any agreement, if it is to command widespread support,	common ground that any	
	agreement, if it is to command	
will need to give adequate expression to the totality of all	widespread support will need to	
three relationships.	give adequate expression to the	
unce relationships.	totality of all three relationships.	

SDLP Proposals	UUP Proposals	Comments
(17) The agenda for each	(17) The agenda for each	SDLP paper retains the language
meeting of the negotiations shall	meeting of the negotiations shall	of the 03 July Composite Draft.
be settled by the participants on	be settled by the participants on	UUP paper omits "the indicative
the basis of proposals put	the basis of proposals put	calendar and" (boldface in
forward by the Chairman in	forward by the Chairman in	SDLP column).
accordance with the overall	accordance with the overall	
agenda in the relevant format,	agenda in the relevant format,	
and taking into account the	and taking into account the	
indicative calendar and the	advice of the Business	
advice of the Business	Committee.	
Committee.		
(16) The relevant Chairman	(16) The relevant Chairman	No differences noted.
will have responsibility for	will have responsibility for	
convening, re-scheduling and	convening, re-scheduling and	
adjourning meetings, having due	adjourning meetings, having due	
regard to the views of the	regard to the views of the	
Business Committee or, as	Business Committee or, as	
appropriate, of the relevant	appropriate, of the relevant	
participants. It is understood,	participants. It is understood,	
however, that any delegation	however, that any delegation	
may request an adjournment of	may request an adjournment of	
up to 20 minutes at a time and	up to 20 minutes at a time and	
the Chairman shall refuse such	the Chairman shall refuse such	
requests only if they are made	requests only if they are made	
with unreasonable frequency.	with unreasonable frequency.	
(15B) Strand One negotiations	(15B) Strand One negotiations	No differences noted.
will take place in Castle	will take place in Castle	
Buildings, Belfast. Strand Two	Buildings, Belfast. Strand Two	
negotiations will take place in	negotiations will take place in	
Belfast, Dublin and London, at	Belfast, Dublin and London, at	
times agreed by the Business	times agreed by the Business	
Committee. The two	Committee. The two	
Governments will determine	Governments will determine	
where Strand Three meetings	where Strand Three meetings	
will take place. The Business	will take place. The Business	
Committee shall determine the	Committee shall determine the	
venue of its own meetings.	venue of its own meetings.	
(15C) The negotiations shall	(15C) The negotiations shall	No differences noted.
normally occur only on	normally occur only on	
Mondays, Tuesday and	Mondays, Tuesdays and	
Wednesdays.	Wednesdays.	

SDLP Proposals	UUP Proposals	Comments
(18) Where any negotiating	Cor rioposais	Comments
teams does not attend at a		SDLP: Text unchanged from
previously agreed time and fails		03 July Composite Draft.
to provide notice of their		
inability to attend, the Chairman		
of that meeting and the		
remaining participants may		
proceed to conduct the meeting		
in the absence of that team of		
teams. If a delegation should		
withdraw temporarily or		
permanently from any aspect of		
the negotiations, the Chairman		
and the remaining participants		
will be free to proceed with		
business with the remaining		
participants, notwithstanding		
such withdrawal.		
(19) All remarks shall be		SDLP: Text unchanged from
addressed through the		03 July Composite Draft.
Chairman, who may time-limit		The start of the start.
interventions at his discretion.		
(20) The Chairman's ruling on		SDLP: Text unchanged from
individual procedural matters		03 July Composite Draft.
shall be binding. In arriving at		
such rulings, the Chairman may		
apply the rules for determining		
sufficient consensus asset out in		
paragraphs23 through 28.		
(21) The Chairman may at any		SDLP: Text unchanged from
time seek a meeting or accede to		03 July Composite Draft.
a request for a meeting with any		
participating delegation or group		
of delegations.		
(22) Any written submissions		SDLP: Text unchanged from
which may be received from		03 July Composite Draft
other groups or individuals will		1
have no status.		

SDLP Proposals	UUP Proposals	Comments
	(UK-amended GR17) If, during	Comments
	the negotiations, a formal	
	representation is made that a	
	participant is no longer entitled	
	to participate in the negotiations	
	on the grounds that they have	
	demonstrably dishonoured the	
	principles of democracy and non	
	violence, the Independent	
	Chairmen will refer this	
	representation to the two	
	Governments for their	
	consideration and, having due	
	regard to the views of the	
	participants, appropriate action.	
	UUP Proposed alternative	
	wording:	
	If, during the negotiations, a	
	formal representation is made	
	that a participant is no longer	
	entitled to participate in the	
	negotiations on the grounds that	
	they have demonstrably	
	dishonoured the principles of	
	democracy and non violence, by	
	for example, resorting to force	
	or threatening to use force to	
	influence the course or the	
	outcome of the negotiations,	
	or failing to oppose the efforts	
	of others to do so, the	
	Independent Chairmen will refer	
	this representation to the British	
	Government for their	
	consideration, and having due	
	regard to the views of the	
	participants, appropriate action.	