

RESTRICTED

✓
JLH
Tallh.

AGENDA FOR THE OPENING PLENARY SESSION

POINTS TO MAKE

- 6 June Agenda clearly now needs revision:
 - First four items (commitment to six principles, etc) completed last month;
 - Initial items for resumed plenary should now be the two areas on which we have been conferring (adoption of Agenda and Procedural Rules).
- Believe we should then deal with the two further items listed in Chairmen's 3 July note as the level of progress we should aim to make by end-July:
 - appointment of Business Committee; and
 - opening statements by participants.

[Know that UUP think opening statements could come later, but surely valuable for participants to set out early on the aspirations and objectives they bring to the process].
- Two remaining issues to be accommodated are the need to address the International Body's proposals on decommissioning, and the discussion and adoption of a comprehensive agenda for the negotiations.
- HMG's approach to these issues based on acceptance of the compromise approach to decommissioning in paragraphs 34 and 35 of the International Body's Report, under which "some decommissioning would take place during the process of all-party negotiations, rather than before or after". The Report argues that this approach

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

controversial, and is not a key feature, we would certainly

"offers the parties an opportunity to build confidence one step at a time As progress is made on political issues, even modest mutual steps on decommissioning could help create the atmosphere needed for further steps in a progressive pattern of mounting trust and confidence".

- This points to parallel progress on political negotiations and decommissioning as the best way of achieving a start to decommissioning during the negotiations. The procedural expression of this for the opening plenary is surely to interleave a full opportunity for participants to address the decommissioning proposals and similarly to discuss and agree a comprehensive agenda. (Decommissioning should be mutual). But others free to make their own proposals.
- Know you are concerned about the substance of the address to decommissioning. Believe the 6 June proposals can still be of use here. Since International Body found that a start to the physical decommissioning would only take place in parallel with the political negotiations, unrealistic to hope to achieve that start, or even commitment to a firm date for starting, in the opening plenary before the three strands of the negotiations have been launched. But it is clearly legitimate and necessary to look in the opening plenary for clear indications of good intent to work constructively and in good faith to secure the implementation of the Report, including the compromise approach to decommissioning. (achieve the compromise approach and considering machinery to take the work forward)
- [- The 6 June "Scenario" paper proposed that the Independent Chairman should be invited to report to the Plenary on these "clear indications". This proposal has been criticised, but I believe on a mistaken basis. Never suggested that the Chairman should make a private judgement which would be binding on the negotiations - he would report his view "after open discussion in the plenary", and it would then be for the Plenary itself to consider the next steps. But since this has proved

a start to decommissioning during the negotiations.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

controversial, and is not a key feature, we would certainly contemplate alternative arrangements. One option might be for all participants to commit themselves in the Plenary to work constructively to implement all aspects of the Report - on the lines of the public statement recording commitment to the Principles.]

- Once satisfaction on the commitment of all participants is achieved - and in the light of the discussions in the Plenary - the Government would then propose the establishment of a sub-committee to take forward the work on decommissioning, including agreement on the modalities on the lines set out in paragraphs 36/50 of the Report. (These guidelines include the principle that decommissioning should be mutual). But others free to make their own proposals.
- On the comprehensive agenda, we would aim to put forward the draft circulated on 6 June, with some updating to reflect the discussions since then. Again, other participants can of course put forward their own ideas.
- To sum up, we believe that the Opening Plenary should;
 - give full consideration to the International Body's decommissioning proposals, including establishing participants' commitment to work constructively and in good faith to achieve the compromise approach and considering machinery to take the work forward;
 - discuss and adopt a comprehensive Agenda for the negotiations;
 - launch the agreed decommissioning machinery and the three-stranded negotiations in parallel at the end of the Plenary, so that negotiations would proceed in the strands at the same time as work is going on to implement a start to decommissioning during the negotiations.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

PROPOSED AGENDA FOR REVISED OPENING PLenary

- It would be a serious mistake in our view to provide that negotiations in the strands could not **begin** until there was a concrete timetable for decommissioning. This would jettison the moral authority and practical realism which underpins the International Body's compromise approach, and would in reality imperil rather than facilitate the goal we all share of completely removing illegal weapons from the scene.
- This revised draft Agenda we have prepared reflects this approach.
- This moves a long way towards the UUP position compared with the 6 June agenda. Eg
 - the **subjective** role of the Chairman is removed;
 - the discussion of decommissioning moves up the agenda, so that it is completed (apart from the launch of the "agreed machinery") **before** the comprehensive agenda is discussed and adopted;
 - the agenda is neutrally phased, with no prejudgement of the outcome and with all participants free to put forward their own proposals;
 - we would still support the "review Plenary" envisaged for the end of September to take stock of progress across the whole process. And this could be developed into a firm timetable of further periodic reviews - eg monthly after September. There would thus be continuing leverage over the decommissioning issue.

RESTRICTED

6 June proposed agenda

'PROPOSED AGENDA FOR RESUMED OPENING PLENARY

1. Adoption of Agenda for Opening Plenary.
2. Adoption of Rules of Procedures.
3. Establishment of Business Committee.
4. Opening Statements.
5. Consideration of International Body's proposals on decommissioning:
 - (a) Discussion of proposals;
 - (b) Participants' commitment to work constructively to implement all aspects of Report of International Body;
 - (c) Consideration of mechanisms necessary to enable further progress to be made on decommissioning alongside negotiations in three strands.
6. Discussion of comprehensive Agenda for negotiations.
7. Adoption of comprehensive Agenda.
8. Establishment of agreed machinery to carry forward work on decommissioning; launch of three-stranded negotiations.
9. Concluding remarks by Independent Chairman
10. Report of the Chairman for the purpose set out in paragraph 12 of 'Scenario for the Opening Plenary Session'; Governments' proposal to establish a sub-committee on decommissioning.
11. Concluding statement by the Independent Chairman.

6 June proposed agenda.

Proposed Opening Plenary Session
Terms Agenda

- To secure implementation of all aspects of the report of the
1. Formal opening by two Governments and hand-over to Independent Chairman.
 2. Introductory remarks by Chairman. Commissioning on the basis of the guidelines contained in paragraphs 36 to 50 of the Report of
 3. Participants to make formal declaration making clear their total and absolute commitment to International Body's principles of democracy and non-violence.
 - (b) advice to both Governments, in the light of those discussions.
 4. Public statement on behalf of all participants recording total and absolute commitment to principles.
 5. Appointment of Business Committee.
 - (c) agreement on any other arrangements necessary for implementation
 6. Opening statements by the participants.
 7. Discussion of comprehensive agenda for negotiations.
 8. Discussion of International Body's proposals on decommissioning.
 9. Adoption of comprehensive agenda for negotiations and procedural rules.
 10. Report of the Chairman for the purpose set out in paragraph 12 of "Scenario for the Opening Plenary Session"; Governments' proposal to establish a sub-committee on decommissioning.
 11. Concluding statement by the Independent Chairman.

G HARRISON
CPL DIVISION
15 JULY 1996

Proposed Sub-committee on Decommissioning
Terms of Reference

To secure implementation of all aspects of the report of the International Body, including:

- (a) agreement on the modalities of decommissioning on the basis of the guidelines contained in paragraphs 36 to 50 of the Report of the International Body;
- (b) advice to both Governments, in the light of those discussions, on the legislative and practical implications of the course which is recommended; and
- (c) agreement on any other arrangements necessary for implementation of the Report.

Legislation

2. Section 7(4) of the Northern Ireland (Entry to Negotiations, etc) Act 1996 provides that if it appears to the Secretary of State that negotiations are concluded or suspended, he shall by order under sub-section (3) provide for section 3 (which establishes the forum) to cease to have effect. Sub-section (6) provides that such an order must be made by a statutory instrument by means of which affirmative resolution in both Houses of Parliament.