Key Paragraphs: SDLP and UUP

SDLP Proposal	UUP Proposals	Comments
for the negotializes as a shole, adopted by appearant, in the appearant planers, as it relates to the participants' area of compelence. Without prejudice to any participants' negotialize to any participants' negotialize position that agreed a will be such as to eachly each participant to rules any significant issue of concern to them and without	(UK 1) The negotiations to which these rules of procedure refer and whose conduct they are intended to govern are, as provided for by Section 2(1) of the Northern Ireland (Entry to Negotiations, etc) Act 1996, the negotiations referred to in Command Paper 3232 (Ground Rules for Substantive All Party Negotiations) published on 16 April 1996.	UUP: Proposed omission as indicated (strike-through text). SDLP: Have indicated a willingness to withdraw their proposed para. 1 if UK-proposed language is retained, presumably in its entirety.
subject to any veto of any other party in the negatiations. Participants may, by agreement, develop or refine the comprehensive agends. (17A) Any participant in the	(UK 1A) The conduct proceedings and outcome of these negotiations is exclusively a matter for those involved in the negotiations. These rules of procedure are adopted for that	UUP: Proposed omission and additions as indicated (strike-through text and italics, respectively).
format in question will be free to raise any aspect of the three relationships, including constitutional leaves and any other matter which it considers solevant. The presedure and outcome of the negotiations will be exclusively a matter for the	purpose as the single set of rules of procedure for the conduct of the negotiations. These rules can only be amended by agreement, in accordance with the decision-making process outlined in paragraphs 23 through 28, following discussion in the Business Committee.	UUP: Areas of disagreement. "New para, 17A - Piece in brackets not agreed. Suggested new wording: "The proceedings and outcome of the negatiations will be acclusively a matter for the relevant participants." (Note: It is unclear from the
ontcome is either predetermined as encluded in advance of limited by anything other than the notal for agreement. Participation in negotiations is without prejudice to any participants' commitment to the achievement by exclusively precedul means of its preferred.	entermined or excluded in advance or limited by anything other than the need for agreement. Participation in negotiations is without prejudice to any perscipant's commitment to the achievement by exclusively peaceful means of	UUP: There is a small problem with the Government's wording of para. 7, by leaving out the word "solely", but, if other things were resolved satisfactorally, the omission of this word could not prove a major difficulty. SDLP paper makes no reference
(15A) All participants in the negotiations will negotiate in good faith, seriously address all aspects of the agreed agenda, and make every effort to reach a comprehensive agreement. They will maintain confidentiality on all aspects of the negotiations except where	(15A) All participants in the negotiations will negotiate in good faith, seriously address all aspects of the agreed agenda, and make every effort to reach a comprehensive agreement. They will maintain confidentiality on all aspects of the negotiations except where	to para. 7. UUP: "These paragraphs (15-17) have been re-ordered and in some cases amended. The general order is agreed with the SDLP. The old paragraph numbers are in pencil in brackets. Our proposals are enclosed."
they may from time to time agree to publicity.	they may from time to time agree publicity (sic).	UUP: Areas of disagreement: Slight change of wording in new para 15A.

Legend: Boldface indicate differences between the SDLP and UUP texts.



SDLP Proposals

(15) The negotiations in the various formats, committees and sub-committees, will be on the basis of a comprehensive agenda for the negotiations as a whole, adopted by agreement, in the opening plenary, as it relates to the participants' area of competence. Without prejudice to any participants' negotiating position that agenda will be such as to enable each participant to raise any significant issue of concern to them and without their ability to do so being subject to any veto of any other party in the negotiations. Participants may, by agreement, develop or refine the comprehensive agenda.

UUP Proposals

(15) The negotiations in the various formats, committees and sub-committees, will be on the basis of a comprehensive agenda for the negotiations as a whole, adopted by agreement by the relevant participants in the opening plenary, as it relates to the participants' area of competence. Participants may, by agreement, develop or refine the comprehensive agenda.

Comments

UUP: Areas of disagreement: New para 15, we have excluded the sentence, "Without prejudice...in the negotiations". We would like this sentence dropped, but the SDLP were in the alternative (sic) to produce a new wording.

SDLP language is identical to that proposed by the British Government.

(17A) Any participant in the format in question will be free to raise any aspect of the three relationships, including constitutional issues and any other matter which it considers relevant. The procedures and outcome of the negotiations will be exclusively a matter for the relevant participants. No outcome is either predetermined or excluded in advance or limited by anything other than the need for agreement. Participation in negotiations is without prejudice to any participants' commitment to the achievement by exclusively peaceful means of its preferred options. It is common ground that any agreement, if it is to command widespread support, will need to give adequate expression to the totality of all three relationships.

(17A) Any participant in the format in question will be free to raise any aspect of the three relationships, including constitutional issues and any other matter which it considers relevant. (The procedures and substance of the negotiations will be exclusively a matter for the relevant participants). No outcome is either predetermined or excluded in advance or limited by anything other than the need for agreement. Participation in negotiations is without prejudice to any participant's commitment to the achievement by exclusively peaceful means of its preferred options. It is common ground that any agreement, if it is to command widespread support will need to give adequate expression to the totality of all three relationships.

UUP: Areas of disagreement: "New para. 17A - Piece in brackets not agreed. Suggested new wording: 'The proceedings and outcome of the negotiations will be exclusively a matter for the relevant participants'."

(Note: It is unclear from the UUP note who is proposing the new language referred to, i.e. whether the new wording in question is proposed by the SDLP or the UUP. The language corresponds with that included in the SDLP paper).

4-11

SDLP Proposals	UUP Proposals	Comments
(17) The agenda for each meeting of the negotiations shall be settled by the participants on the basis of proposals put forward by the Chairman in accordance with the overall agenda in the relevant format, and taking into account the indicative calendar and the advice of the Business Committee.	(17) The agenda for each meeting of the negotiations shall be settled by the participants on the basis of proposals put forward by the Chairman in accordance with the overall agenda in the relevant format, and taking into account the advice of the Business Committee.	SDLP paper retains the language
(16) The relevant Chairman will have responsibility for convening, re-scheduling and adjourning meetings, having due regard to the views of the Business Committee or, as appropriate, of the relevant participants. It is understood, however, that any delegation may request an adjournment of up to 20 minutes at a time and	(16) The relevant Chairman will have responsibility for convening, re-scheduling and adjourning meetings, having due regard to the views of the Business Committee or, as appropriate, of the relevant participants. It is understood, however, that any delegation may request an adjournment of up to 20 minutes at a time and	No differences noted.
the Chairman shall refuse such requests only if they are made with unreasonable frequency.	the Chairman shall refuse such requests only if they are made with unreasonable frequency.	SDLP: Text upchanged from 03 July Composite Draft.
(15B) Strand One negotiations will take place in Castle Buildings, Belfast. Strand Two negotiations will take place in	(15B) Strand One negotiations will take place in Castle Buildings, Belfast. Strand Two negotiations will take place in	No differences noted.
Belfast, Dublin and London, at times agreed by the Business Committee. The two Governments will determine where Strand Three meetings	Belfast, Dublin and London, at times agreed by the Business Committee. The two Governments will determine where Strand Three meetings	SDLP; Text unchanged from 03 July Composite Droft.
will take place. The Business Committee shall determine the venue of its own meetings.	will take place. The Business Committee shall determine the venue of its own meetings.	
(15C) The negotiations shall normally occur only on Mondays, Tuesday and Wednesdays.	(15C) The negotiations shall normally occur only on Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays.	No differences noted.

SDLP Proposals	UUP Proposals	Comments
(18) Where any negotiating	TO K-BORD AND ARLES H, GREEN	SDLP: Text unchanged from
teams does not attend at a	the negotiations, a formal	03 July Composite Draft.
previously agreed time and fails	representation is made that a	os sury composite Diait.
to provide notice of their	participant is no longer entitled.	
inability to attend, the Chairman	to participate in the nugotlesions	
of that meeting and the	on the grounds that they have	
remaining participants may	demonstrably dishonoured the	
proceed to conduct the meeting	principles of democracy and no	
in the absence of that team of	violence, the Independent	
teams. If a delegation should	Chairman will refer this	
withdraw temporarily or	representation to the two.	
permanently from any aspect of	Governments the their	
the negotiations, the Chairman	consideration and, having due	
and the remaining participants	regard to the views of the	
will be free to proceed with	participant, appropriate action.	
business with the remaining		
participants, notwithstanding	CUP Proposed afternative	
such withdrawal.	wordings.	
(19) All remarks shall be	at ourse, an acquirators, a	SDLP: Text unchanged from
addressed through the	surmal representation is made	03 July Composite Draft.
Chairman, who may time-limit	ibse a participant is no longer	os sary composite Drait.
interventions at his discretion.	estitled to participate is-the	
(20) The Chairman's ruling on	instance on the case grounds that	SDLP: Text unchanged from
individual procedural matters		03 July Composite Draft.
shall be binding. In arriving at		
such rulings, the Chairman may		
apply the rules for determining		
sufficient consensus asset out in		
paragraphs23 through 28.	luffuence the enurse or the	
(21) The Chairman may at any	or failing to oppose the efforts	SDLP: Text unchanged from
time seek a meeting or accede to	of others to do m, the	03 July Composite Draft.
a request for a meeting with any	fadepundent Chairmen will refer	Diant.
participating delegation or group	this representation to the British	
of delegations.	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
(22) Any written submissions	consideration, and having due	SDLP: Text unchanged from
which may be received from	regard to the views of the	03 July Composite Draft
other groups or individuals will	participants, appropriate action.	- Jamposite Dian
have no status.	LE STATE OF THE SECTION.	

SDLP Proposals	UUP Proposals	Comments
T DECEMBER STATE WAS	(UK-amended GR17) If, during	Comments
	the negotiations, a formal	/
	representation is made that a	1.0
	participant is no longer entitled	All the second second second
	to participate in the negotiations	ratary of State (BE)
	on the grounds that they have	John Whenler (B&L)
	demonstrably dishonoured the	man! Angram (B&D) -
	principles of democracy and non	(B&C) - B
	violence, the Independent	David Fall - B
	Chairmen will refer this	ala - a
	representation to the two	man (BAL) - B
	Governments for their	hina - B
	consideration and, having due	1 - 5
	regard to the views of the	eb (Bata - B
	participants, appropriate action.	
		TOTAL TOTAL BEAUTY OF THE STATE
	UUP Proposed alternative	
	wording:	abate - B
	If, during the negotiations, a	
	formal representation is made	An - B
	that a participant is no longer	
	entitled to participate in the	
	negotiations on the grounds that	
Public - n	they have demonstrably	
	dishonoured the principles of	
	democracy and non violence, by	2 493 STENATOR BUTCHE
	for example, resorting to force	
	or threatening to use force to	
	influence the course or the	Ion of 15 July which
	outcome of the negotiations,	
	or failing to oppose the efforts	of the security bit
	of others to do so, the	nt to provide infor
	Independent Chairmen will refer	
	this representation to the British	Chairmen. The Mini
	Government for their	ad Richard Lumente
	consideration, and having due	
	regard to the views of the	
	participants, appropriate action.	