

RISH EMBASSY, LONDON

17. GROSVENOR PLACE. SW1X 7HR

Telephone: 0171-235 2171 Direct Line: 0171-201 2 Fax: 0171-245 6961

25 January 1996

Mr Sean O hUiginn Second Secretary Department of Foreign Affairs Dublin

Dear Secretary

A Conversation with John Hume

I spoke with John Hume at the House of Commons this afternoon.

Hume was deeply satisfied at the Taoiseach's statement at the Council of Europe and asked for extra copies to give to colleagues.

He feels that the stand he has taken on elections is having an effect. He has learned indirectly that Major is looking for a meeting and expects this to happen on Tuesday, the first day when Mallon will also be available in London.

Hume also felt that the British Government was giving ground at today's Northern Ireland Questions. Of particular significance was Andrew Hunter's question asking the Secretary of State to confirm that the remit of "an elective process" would have to be agreed among the parties. Hume sees this as giving the SDLP some leverage.

Hume also noted with satisfaction the questions put by Mo Mowlam and her deputy Tony Worthington suggesting that elections can only go ahead on the basis of widespread agreement among the parties, a commitment to negotiations and safeguards for minorities. While Mayhew's answers were evasive, the questions indicate some development of Labour policy. (Comment: Hume did not mention what I have learned from other sources, that he walked out of his meeting this

with Blair and Mowlam - although Mallon stayed

briefly discussed with Hume the possible significance of briefly discussion. If the parties are to agree on a remit, muster's question agree within twin-track on other thingshould they not agree within twin-track on other thingshould the same than the possible significance of the same than the possible significance of the same than the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit, and the parties are to agree on a remit and the parties are to agree on a unter's question. If the parties are to agree on a remit, why should not these agreements be ratified at a formal and why involving all parties which would in effect be the and why should and all parties which would in effect be the meeting and all party conference or process. meeting in all party conference or process?

Hume was saying to journalists, by the way, that if elections are held on the present plan the SDLP would do as it did in

Helen Blake has reported on Northern Ireland Questions. As a final point about this afternoon, I would draw attention to John Taylor's question which called for an early meeting between the two governments, with a view to providing reassurances on North South cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

Bly Madnigh

Philip McDonagh.