

Mr Cribbin please put on file in case
any queries arise 16.9.96
Meeting with Alliance Party, 5.9.96 (1)

T - sense of alarm, deepening of distrust.
and pressure afterwards. Hand for
those in Alliance. First, encourage them to
continue
Met SDLP, contact with UU.

We encouraged SDLP to be on jobs on jobs.
Union build centre got, to some / varied

Know SDLP, NB still holding out for early
concrete - But seem less likely that a sincere
one will happen; about from tactical.

Best chance for durable office if team seem to be
leaving, talks getting up steam.

At Antrim to learn. How see dynamic, 1st.
Temple in days not with. We have row about
at exclusion. We did go back to decisions

Aldercliffe

Agree with T's analysis. Refers his June
cont, re depth of polarisation; real hatred / why
building up. People saying - fed up trying to negotiate

Nat's saying never get any way with us, things
going our way. We saying SDLP not is, chasing
after ST. All summer confirmed.

Butt butt did not appear how serious.
; did not appear was about strategy. Who runs
plane? He has reason to know: was not proper appear
(was seen on march). Some reps even with
for officers, RUC, + for NI O

2,

Annerly was indeed arrogant. Rejected Nelson's
idea of Ming Justice. PAVN had big diff.
They took 1st division, w/o taking into
a/c 1983. Haven't proper prep, argt made.

Had have been better for us not to hammer
down RMC, no other seen trying to do right
thing; you got ~~total~~ ~~rea~~ opposite reaction from
rats to

led to misappreh. ~~for~~ between Brit & Ir Govt.
Brit Govt.

Never saw situation so bad, had to take actions.

What conclusion? Not new story. Banned to view
that get all sides on board was fantasy, dangerous
nonsense. Not sure if after now possible - but
only try

We're not far from creation of loyal Provos
- Irvine etc -

Trimble & Mulrea creating. Loy paramts.

T: Develop ?

~~From~~ H: Dec. not think Tr. gives anent.
to 3-stranded process. Wld not want.

Loy. in. Trimble thinks Mulneaux sold pan
in 1991. Thinkers were push to go for more
than that, internal solution

but in any what's point.

He thinks best chld ~~and~~ review analysis that underlay leave process.

T: very hard for any combo of parties to abandon any idea that TRA if it would be pos.

He reads a review going back to 70s, build up centre. If so, it's a big project. A lot of expectation etc gone into ~~the~~ present process. Very hard to unwind - High hopes - - -

Ala. Agrees.

Nobody now pretends it would be a complete renovation.

Very dangerous, sit. We haven't had pro-active by parliament, as shipping.

Not really another way, but. restructure way we have to start questioning proven or reflection on analysis up to date.

T: What instead of analysis, what it be better to push elements in SDLP willing to go for compromise + more old-fashioned elements.

in it. This best would be willing to work in practice, if not in public, a build up centre.

Ala. agrees approach whatever public rationale. They not think there is SDLP range

AB: Are others frightening themselves into the centre?

T: many in SDLP do agree. Refer Mallon re utopian abyss.

used very strong language

SD : How far is potential for loyalist
protestism.

Chor : If anyone had raised, 3 mths ago, 4,000
4,000 wld be out to support King Raff.

AB : Others who understand dangers.

Aldridge.

Taylor does not like what's happening
but they wonder what point.

? big & how far for Brits, I'd much
there in U, or we wld there in SDLP.

AB : What do now?

Aldridge - Expect some picketing. Think
thing is to get SDLP / us doing it rather
London re misused investment in
protest now. Question mark?

Chor : Ray none (Provor)

In an election today, SF wld get 20%.
Seamus wld be learning about his seat.

Neeson - Had hopes wld improve when
marketing came over. But not & still
deteriorating. He doubts if Enley is as
benevolent as we thought.

AB : Why did Ormonde happen? View
that rats had become insatiable.

may take years to rebuild.

Chre: Handover now for SDLP & UU to deal
became both looking over the shoulder.

T: Rationally - SDLP are going down
tubes - but if they get dead, might
change form of corporate interest.

T: If lose SDLP, where go?

Ala: Yes, you're bunched - + risks for
South, UK large state, can
[people in shorts who make missiles].

Chre: Feeling of British re urgency.

D. Looney
British re need to get SDLP/
UU together.

Ala: ^{Br. Govt. feel}
worry re how ^{not} searched

T: Is there anything we could do, apart
from persuading SDLP. Anything we can
say as Govt. not trying to engineer
takeover, not to ~~be~~ part of a big
juggernaut? We've said it again &
again

Ala: Not so great. But.

T: No broad leap?

Al: May be but he does not see.

6.
T: the notion of the 2 work is important

Chris: See JFD sees it as too green a
hinge - like one day

7 articles 2 & 3 have not been raised
much.

T: Lead time is so long & requirements so
great, re referendum

Alasdair: More about changing agenda.
Need to get thought out of people's mind,
that can't talk, unless SF in.

Coverley: Does he see 2 ways on same as SF

Al: None like The Workers Party

Erving: said it up to you & UUP to do a
deal.

Coverley: How sandy is Carney.

Al/Chris: A virus, a bully, emerging at top
of ruins

T: Very struck by what said. Makes
him come back to Q, what do you do
if you want to act on changed analysis
Q is there some centre left, have the
sandy run out? You can't frog march
SDLP in.

You have to say to John, get a
grip, realise you've had wrong analysis
misled him.

2

to Bride

Look at spec of small step,
small building blocks. that will help.

T: Doubts

5 yrs ago, SDLP if had to choose
between Irish Govt & SF would have chosen
Irish Govt: Not so sure now.

Down here, would be a big? A lot
of people.

T: I, answer to try to create a new
fant. accompli?

Govt. would have to change policy.

Chor: Cycles. If you start out at a certain
moment, risk of things drifting, spiralling
down hill

T / Aldenore: But, partial
leadership would drive us wild.