


B18.

CONFIDENTIAL

FROM: D J R HILL
POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT TEAM
11 September 1996

Dr Smyth

cc PS/Secretary of State (B&L)
PS/Sir John Wheeler (B&L)
PS/Michael Ancram (B&L)
PS/Malcolm Moss (B)
PS/Baroness Denton (B)
PS/PUS (B&L)
PS/Sir David Fell
Mr Thomas o/r
Mr Legge
Mr Bell
Mr Leach (B)
Mr Watkins
Mr Steele
Mr Wood (B&L)
Mr Beeton
Mr Stephens
Mr Lavery
Mr McCabe
Mr Perry
Mr Priestly
Mr Whysall (B&L)
Ms Mapstone
Ms Bharucha
Mr O'Mahony, TAU
Mr Campbell Bannerman
Mr Lamont, RID
HMA, Dublin
Mr Clarke, Dublin
Mr Westmacott, Washington*
Ms Collins, Cabinet Office*



* via IPL

TALKS: MONDAY 9 SEPTEMBER 1996

1. I hope it will be helpful to offer a small but perhaps significant clarification of your record of Monday's business.
2. The "sub-committee concept" which the UUP has agreed to drop (paragraphs 1 and 13) is the sub-committee which they had originally proposed should meet during the opening plenary to conduct the opening plenary's "address" to decommissioning. They now seem to have accepted the SDLP line that this would enable the DUP and UKUP

CONFIDENTIAL

POLDEVT 988

CONFIDENTIAL

to stall progress and write in all kinds of decommissioning pre-conditions before the three strands were launched. Instead, they propose to proceed on the basis that they will seek understandings on decommissioning from the two Governments (especially in relation to the legislation) in "trilaterals" which would take place as it were in the margins of the plenary's address to decommissioning.

3. The terminological confusion on this point, which has been rampant throughout the Talks, arises from the extant proposal from the two Governments that once the address has been completed, by whatever means, a decommissioning sub-committee should be established to take forward substantive discussion of the decommissioning issue alongside the three strands. The unionists, having previously rejected this sub-committee, do now seem to see a role for it, which mightily cheered up the Irish for whom it has always been a key part of the "exit strategy" from the opening plenary.

(Signed)

D J R HILL
Political Development Team
CB 22317

NOTE FOR THE RECORD

TALKS, 10 SEPTEMBER: SUMMARY 3.30 PM

The plenary commenced to hear examination of the case made by the DUP against the RUP and the UDP for breach of the Mitchell principles over the CLMC death threat to two former associates, and the bomb attack on the home of one of them.

As the loyalists party had only been received by the DUP this morning, there was an early adjournment.

CONFIDENTIAL

POLDEVT 988

CONFIDENTIAL

23/SEP/98/431