- We had a scries of bilateral exchanges with the British throughout the day on the wording of the draft "exit scenario" into substantive negotiations which, as had been agreed at the last trilateral, would be put to the UUP.
- 2. Minister Coveney stressed the strict limits set out earlier by the Minister for Justice.
- The debate rapidly concentrated on two approaches:
  - (a) Language which pointed very strongly to de Chastelain having the key role, or at least a key role on the future verification Commission. We indicated we could put this scenario to our authorities, but, to have any likelihood of acceptance, it would need to be combined with alternative arrangements for Strand 2, since combining both roles (- four hats in all for de Chastelain!) would be unacceptable in terms of the perception of his role as Strand 2 Chairman. They did not envisage a change in his Strand 2 role, and therefore they dropped this approach.
  - (b) They returned to the language of their earlier bracket on the precise role of the proposed experts. We indicated that giving the experts a future "directing" role pointed all too clearly to the experts as the embryonic Commissioners, which was not what Ministers had in mind. Various attempts to ensure continuity but to find a more neutral and "expert" role, were canvassed. We agreed to seek clearance on the attached text (key lines underlined).

- 2 -

4. It was agreed that Hickey and Cooney would meet Leach and Hill tomorrow to examine more closely what the British envisaged in practice to meet the proposed wording. Subject to political clearance, it was agreed we would aim to give the UUP the text tomorrow p.m.

( Sean O Luiginn ) O Luiginn)

End.

-

In addition, it offers the parties an operaturity to use

regard of the Interpational Body inslusing their expose

provide the basis for giving effect to the International

decommissioning. Raving considered the comments which the issues the legislative reservork is enacted by Christman

trust and wendidance