CONFIDENTIAL

UUP PAPER OF 14/11/96 ON THE ROLE AND REMIT OF THE NIGC

Points Raised

- 1) NIGC should as far as
 possible be based on Scottish
 equivalent (p1, sub
 paragraphs 1,3 and 4)
- 2) Most of the Standing Orders
 setting out functions of the
 Scottish Grand Committee
 could be adapted for the NIGC
 without any substantive
 change:(p1, sub paragraph 4)
 - Questions for oral answer SO 94B
 - Short debates SO 94C
 - Ministerial statements -SO 94D
 - Substantive motions SO 94G

Note: These 4 proposed changes (following existing Scottish provision) would allow inter alia Baroness Denton to take part in Grand Committee Question Time, any Minister - not just an NIO Minister - to make a

Commentary

- No problem in principle. Indeed, this point was emphasised in SofS's letter of 23 October.
- amendments present no difficulties. But there are a number of points to which further consideration will need to be given (for example, if these are intended to be temporary measures pending the re-establishment of legislative devolutions in NI, there is a case for incorporating them in temporary Standing Orders).

CONFIDENTIAL

statement, give "Whitehall"
Ministers the right to attend
and speak and allow for the
attendance of law officers
and Ministers in Standing
Committee.

Sittings - SO 94H

- No problem in principle in holding a limited number of NIGC meetings at a NI venue, subject to a suitable venue being available. The House authorities would be consulted about the facilities which would be needed. The House would also need to ensure that meetings did not clash with visits to NI by the Select Committee etc.
- Provision for legislation in Northern Irel line with Scottish Grand its own Bills Committee? (p1, sub Scotland) and paragraph 1) (Note: The appear on the Scottish Grand Committee can and Fair Empl debate the second and third considered su readings of certain Bills by the NIGC, which apply to Scotland only). of the House.

Northern Ireland has very few of its own Bills (less than Scotland) and those which do appear on the programme (eg EPA and Fair Employment) might not be considered suitable to be taken by the NIGC, rather than on floor of the House.

- 4) Draft Orders in Council should be considered as if they were Bills, with
- These ideas would have far reaching consequences for the House. Most significantly

6. Jan. 1997 | 13:13 S OF S PRIVATE OFFICE 1712106722

No. 2805 P. 2/3

CONFIDENTIAL

amendments being moved and then the Draft Order as amended being reported.

the UUP proposals would appear entirely to pre-empt legislative devolution. Adoption of their proposals would therefore be inconsistent with a central plank of the Government's political development strategy.

CONFIDENTIAL