The National Archives reference PREM 19/6088

PH\_COMMS\_NO 10 COMMS ROOM.

WED 26 MAR 97 20:26

CONFIDENTIAL covering SECRET

PG. UI

To see Pon's

From: Date:

John Holmes 26 March 1997

Philip Barton

PRIME MINISTER

## NORTHERN IRELAND

file rasa/4

Your comments on the Wilmslow bombs played well all day, and succeeded in putting Sinn Fein on to the defensive - see the attached interview with McGuinness.

The NIO view is that today's bombs do not change the likelihood of an IR's suspension either way. I attach their latest analysis, for the benefit of Norman suggested lines to take if a suspension is announced. I think these have the combination of regret at the absence of a lasting ceaseful, we come for step in the right direction, and caution about future prospects. But we step have to look at what was said by the IRA at the time before finally deciding our own line. Any comments in the meantime?

I do not incidentally agree with the NIO claim in their analysis that a suspension now could be turned into a "permanent ceasefire". I have seen absolutely and evidence that the IRA/Sinn Fein are ready to abandon the dual strategy of politics and violence. Obviously we want to turn any temporary ceasefire is that permanent one – and some members of the Sinn Fein leadership may should aim. But that is rather different.

PM.COMMS-NO 10 COMMS ROOM.

## CONFIDENTIAL covering SECRET

PG.02

- 2 -

Michael Ancram saw John Hume today and explained why the answers to Sinn Fein's questions from Paddy Mayhew were all he was going to get. He also explained why the content of the revised version of the answers given to us by Hume at the weekend (with apologies for the bulk of paper, text also attached as you will see it is actually a letter from Adams) did not correspond to our policy. He is following up in writing so that Hume cannot misunderstand. I have followed this process very closely and am satisfied NIO are playing straight.

Jun

John Holmes