FROM: P N BELL JOINT SECRETARY 4 October 1996

FOR 11 AM MEETING

cc:	PS/Secretary of State (B&L) PS/Michael Ancram (B&L) PS/Sir John Wheeler (B&L) PS/PUS (B&L) PS/Sir David Fell Mr Steele Mr Watkins Mr Hill Mr Perry Mr Stephens Mr Priestly Mr Maccabe Mr Lavery
	Mr Whysall (B&L)
	Ma Manstone Jake
10	Ms Bharucha
010	Ms Bharucha Mr Campbell Bannerman Mr Lamont RID
71	Mr Lamont, RID
	HMA, Dublin
	Mr Clarke, Dublin
	Ms Collins, CO (via IPL)

BB

B В В в В В в в В В в в В в в в В В B

MR THOMAS - B

## CONVERSATION WITH MR DONOGHUE - 3 OCTOBER 1996

Our usual post delegation meeting yesterday tete a tete produced few surprises. So far as the <u>Talks</u> are concerned, all passion really does seem to be spent on the Irish side except that Mr Donoghue regarded Wednesday's assault on Sir George Quigley and Co as having reached new depths, even though he wondered whether the issue could not have been more sensitively introduced.

2. Nevertheless, depressing as these exchanges had been, and trying to put on one side that our next anticipated, if surreal triumph might be the finalisation of the Agenda for the Opening Plenary, four months after Talks had opened, I did my best to try and inject a more enthusiastic and positive approach to the Talks in ways that would not hint at the recent ingestion of prohibited substances.

3. In particular, I suggested that there was much to be said for an early meeting, once Mr O hUiginn had returned from Pittsburgh, for our sharing our thinking <u>rapidly</u> about ways around what I did not attempt to disguise was the impasse over <u>decommissioning</u>. I cannot claim that Mr Donoghue leapt at my suggestion; I suspect he believes that the Talks are doomed whatever we say; he was, when pressed, more enthusiastic about a meeting of the Liaison Group (in



0

## CONFIDENTIAL

in the margins of Castle Buildings) rather than a tete a tete Fretween, say, yourself and Mr O hUiginn. Nevertheless, I did manage to engage his attention by suggesting, I think the thought came as a novelty to him, that there might, just possibly, be ways in the short-term around the impasse.

4. Emphasising that I was <u>not</u> speaking on instructions, but merely thinking aloud on the basis of well known and conspicuously inadequate thought processes, I wondered whether it might, following an Address to decommissioning in Plenary, be possible to "park" the issue (with the Parties, if you like, agreeing for the time being to disagree) until the question ceased to be academic, following a knock on the door from Sinn Fein following a ceasefire. Then the inevitable battle, which seems likely to prevent us at the moment from ever reaching the Strands, would have to be engaged.

5. But what could ever induce the Unionists to park the decommissioning and, in a metaphor I have used with you, not merely leave the battlements but leave the draw bridge down for Sinn Fein in due course to march in? Answer, the fact that <u>if</u> Sinn Fein declared a ceasefire <u>and</u> sought admission to the Talks (which are not quite the same thing), they would, first, have to sign up to the Mitchell principles, accept whatever the Talks acquis where at by that stage, <u>but</u> not be allowed to enter the Strands until agreement had been achieved on the way forward.

6. Interestingly, Mr Donoghue immediately saw the drawbacks of this from a Sinn Fein perspective: namely that, if they chose to enter the Talks, they would be doing so without a guarantee that they would be admitted into the Strands (indeed they would hit the decommissioning buffer immediately, or that the decommissioning issue would be resolved to their satisfaction. The proverbial pig in a poke. But without some such safeguard, I suggested, there could be no hope that the Unionists would consent to postpone Armageddon.

7. On the other hand, I argued, while it was easy to imagine Unionists and Sinn Fein objections, there might be considerable advantages to any "parking" strategy <u>if</u> (and if, and again if) this could be agreed:

- (a) it postponed the inevitable clash, on the soundMicawberish principle that "something may turn up"; and
- (b) that something may be progress in the Talks.

8. Arguably, the only thing that will induce Sinn Fein to call a ceasefire and seek admission to the fear of being left out of a worthwhile Talks operation (so they may be prepared to face up to difficulties, immediately on their admission, about decommissioning). Equally if the Talks are going well, Unionists may not want to press their position on decommissioning a l'ontrance. In such circumstances what I suggest, may not be as probable. But it is at least not totally over the even horizon.

9. Such ideas were (far) less important in terms of whatever intrinsic merits they may or may not have, as in engaging Mr Donoghue and suggesting that there <u>might</u> be something even better - I had not then seen Mr Campbell Bannerman's cunning plan for the two sides to talk about. He said he would talk to Mr O hUiginn. We shall both need to keep up the pressure.

10. Finally, we reverted to the hardy perennial of what Ministers should, or should not say about <u>RUC guests to the Secretariat</u>. Once again, Mr Donoghue waived his gory locks at me and intimated that behind him were those whose locks were still gorier. Do not underestimate Irish adverse reactions about disclosure. Unionists' behaviour in the Talks, I fear, has unaccountably done nothing in Irish eyes to make them believe that they should be treated with exceptional favour ....

Signed:

FF

P N BELL 01232-426237 KMR/PNB/6994